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## INTRODUCTION

Research interest in the study of happiness and life satisfaction has been steadily growing since the early part of this century. Social scientists, philosophers, and theologians have defined happiness and studied it within their own perspectives (Veenhoven, 1984a). Beginning in the 1950 s , a new movement in social science which was related to national and cross-national survey research, the Social Indicators' Movement, was begun. Happiness and life satisfaction questions were added to large scale Social Indicator survey projects and many studies were conducted. At the present time, the study of happiness and life satisfaction has taken many new directions (Diener, 1984).

Related to assessing happiness in the individual, many empirical studies have been conducted over the last sixty years. The study of happiness can be categorized by two general approaches. The first method is based on an individual's affective processes or moods. The second method is based on an individual's notions of the needs and aspirations being sought (Andrews \& Withey, 1974). Bradburn (1969) pioneered affective happiness by weighing the amounts of pleasant affect against the amounts of unpleasant affect experienced. This second method involves asking individuals to indicate their subjective impressions of happiness by needs and aspirations. This method will be utilized in this study in regard to a student population.

The study of college students' subjective happiness is important for two reasons. First, by indications of happiness the students'
ability to make a positive adjustment to the academic and nonacademic aspects of college life is signalled. Second, sources of unhappiness can be identified from this process, and intervention provided. Bloom (1971) suggested that studying the occurance of students' personal problems was a vital link in providing adequate mental health services. Houston (1971) studied the etiology of students' psychological problems and identified typical problem areas such as: academic stress, peer relationships, heterosexual adjustment, self-identity and autonomy apart from one's family, and vocational planning.

No studies of college students were found using a measure of perceived happiness whose content was developed specifically from the many indicators of happiness identified in the research literature. Such information could be of assistance to students in their psychological adjustment to academic life specifically by the identification and removal of unhappiness provoking symptoms and by providing information and assistance via campus support services.

Purpose of Study
The purpose of this study was to develop an instrument which would be capable of identifying sources of perceived happiness potentially related to a university population. This was initiated by hypothesizing apriori sources of happiness, developing an instrument, collecting, and analyzing data among different university student groups at Iowa State University.

## Research Question

Three research problems were formulated for the examination of perceived happiness among university students.

1. To determine the sources students identify which would be potentially related to their perceived happiness.
2. To determine how these sources might vary among International and North American students, males and females, on measures of actual and ideal happiness.
3. To determine how these sources might vary for the individual on measures of actual and ideal happiness.

## Definitions

1. Actual Happiness - current identified happiness based on an individual's perceptions of affective and cognitive happiness being experienced.
2. Ideal Happiness - future identified happiness based on an individual's perceptions of affective and cognitive happiness being experienced.

## REVIEW OF LITERATURE

The purpose of this review is to present an overview of happiness that has emerged in research studies spanning the last 60 years. Early studies, presented first are followed by more recent studies, theoretical approaches, and influential research. Since the purpose of this study was to identify sources, the review will also contain a section on students' adjustment to campus life, from a North American and an International student perspective, and a review of ahppiness measures that have been used with student and adult populations. A brief summary will follow each subtopic and at the end of the chapter.

## Early Studies

Happiness research with a focus on the various ways individuals experience their lives can be traced back to the arly part of this century (Veenhoven, 1984a). As interest steadily grew, social scientists began obtaining life satisfaction and subjective happiness data during the 1950s. By the 1960s, these data, from national and cross-national polis, were considered to be influential in national policy decisions as well as in presenting promising indications about the nature of human behavior on the psychological frontier. During each period, many important studies were undertaken. In the interest of presenting a comprehensive review of research, and, at the same time, providing evidence of the breadth of research covered, the early research studies have been highlighted by utilizing a number of the existing reviews of published research (Arkoff, 1975; Diener, 1984; Fellows, 1966; Fordyce, 1972; Wilson, 1967).

## Happiness Correlations

In Fellows' (1966) review, the concept of happiness is examined in regard to the psychological and sociological perspective of the day: goal setting, performance, and motivation (Cassel, 1954; Hutschnecker, 1964), the release of tension (Gumpert, 1951), the release of energy (Brochman, 1950; Hutschnecker, 1964), and was felt to be equated with positive mental health and psychological well-being (Bradburn \& Caplovitz, 1965). Correlates found to be positively identified with happiness were evident from survey data: enjoyment, interest, and success in one's occupation or related meaningful activity (Flüge1, 1925; Iisager, 1948; Lawton, 1943; Watson, 1930), good health (Iisager, 1948; Lawton, 1943), and the prevalence of good health in childhood (Watson, 1930). Social zelationships, which included support from friends and family, were also apparent (Iisager, 1948; Lawton, 1943; Watson, 1930). Related to the individual, pleasant affect (Flügel, 1925; Gillespie, 1942; Lawton, 1943), positive functional feelings (Flügel, 1925; Lawton, 1943; Symonds, 1937), and a clear conscience (Iisager, 1948) were found to be positively associated with happiness. In Gillespie's (1942) wartime study, the sample group was totally British working class adults who identified many factors related to their happiness: religious beliefs, security, knowledge, humor, politics, action, equity, leadership, and beauty. Other aspects of Fellows' (1966) happiness research review included happiness in regard to uses of leisure time, age differences, cultural perspectives, and
commonly held myths.

## Wilson's Happiness Critique

Wilson's (1967) review of happiness literature was considered to be one of the most concise presentations of comparative research and psychometric issues written to date. He postulated that happiness was defined by the prompt satisfaction of three types of needs: physiological, pleasure-seeking, and acquired. A second postulate was that on individual's happiness and degree of fulfillment were dependent upon variations in adaptive levels, past experiences, comparisons with others, values, and other factors.

The summary of correlates positively associated with happiness as identified in Wilson's (1967) review and supported by other researchers included: happy childhood memories (Barschak, 1951), youth (Bradburn \& Caplovitz, 1965; Gurin, Veroff, \& Feld, 1960; Kuhlen, 1948), successful social relationships including one's spouse (Bradburn \& Caplovitz, 1965; Chasse11, 1928 (cited in Wilson, 1967), Gurin et a1., 1960; Smith, 1961; Watson, 1930), reduced worrying (Bradburn \& Caplovitz, 1965; Chasse11, 1928 (cited in Wilson, 1967); Gurin et al., 1960), high job morale (Bradburn \& Caplovitz, 1965; Watson, 1930), favorable selfdescriptions (Smith, 1961; Watson, 1930), the ability to identify sources of happiness (Gurin et al., 1960; Laxer, 1964; Smith, 1961; Symonds, 1937), a stable home environment (Washburne, 1941; Watson, 1930), future optimism (Gurin et al., 1960), education (Bradburn \& Caplovitz, 1965; Gurin et al., 1960), maintaining health, pursuing
sports, and outside activities (Bradburn \& Caplovitz, 1965; Fellows, 1966; Washburne, 1941; Watson, 1930), intelligence quotient (Fellows, 1966; Washburne, 1941), socioeconomic status (Beckham, 1929; Bradburn \& Caplovitz, 1965), reduced marital tension (Bradburn \& Caplovitz, 1965), and religiosity (Wilson, 1965). Wilson (1967) suggested that although these studies have yielded much data, some methodologies appear questionable. By validating happiness instruments and combining this with reliability tests, confidence in research conclusions would be improved. Wilson also suggested that little advancement in happiness theory had been offered in terms of explaining some of the happiness relationships.

Two of the major research studies reviewed by Wilson (1967) that warrant special mention are by Wessman (1957) and Wessman and Ricks (1966). Wessman (1957) studied happiness and adjustment and found three central indicators: supportive family relationships, job satisfaction, and successful social relationships. Wessman and Ricks (1966) studied characteristics of happy and unhappy men and found that happier men made more positive use of their time, planned longrange goals, made commitments to these goals, and tended to adequately estimate the time needed to complete tasks. Wilson (1967) attempted to replicate portions of these studies using a student population and found similar correlations between happiness and achievement needs.

## Fordyce's Happiness Critique

Fordyce's (1972) review is divided into sections in which the concept of happiness as affective experience is defined. In his definition, happiness is equated with pleasant emotion(s), measurable as a singular construct or as a transcendent overall evaluation of emotional life.

Fordyce's (1972) tabling of correlates positively associated with happiness is grouped into sociability, employment, and life quality factors. All correlates, weak and/or moderate, are shown in his work. The following represent the highly important or the most important indicators of happiness. In the area of sociability, happiness correlates were supported among the following research studies: friendships (Barschak, 1951; Goldings, 1954; Gurin et al., 1960; Meltzer, 1966; Wall, 1948), social popularity for adolescent school girls (Iisager, 1948; Scott, 1967), marital satisfaction (Gurin et al., 1960; Landis, 1942; Wessman, 1957), love and affection among high school and college students (Hart, 1945; Iisager, 1948; Scott, 1967), positive family 1ife (Cantril, 1965; Landis, 1942; Wessman, 1957), and positive family life related to early childhood memories (Barschak, 1951; Meltzer, 1966; Scott, 1967; Wall, 1948).

Happiness was found to be positively associated with employment of Cantril (1965), with work satisfaction related to employment (Cantril, 1965; Iisager, 1948; Landis, 1942), and with college student

1ife (Goldings, 1954).
Many correlates were found to be related to life quality, such as sufficient money (Cantri1, 1965; Iisager, 1948; Landis, 1942; Wessman, 1957) as well as maintaining a decent standard of living, welfare, opportunities for children, and home, farm, or business ownership (Cantril, 1965). Other conditions included: contentment (Wessman, 1957), achievement (Scott, 1967), optimism (Lawton, 1943), selfdevelopment, morality, resolving ethical and religious problems (Cantril, 1965), having fun (Wessman, 1957), and maintaining good health (Cantril, 1965; Goldings, 1954; Gurin et al., 1960; Iisager, 1948; Landis, 1942; Lawton, 1943; Meltzer, 1966; Wessman, 1957). The final segment of Fordyce's review is devoted to studies in which the attempt was made to measure happiness as related to values which include: religious values (Hartmann, 1934), ways to live (Wilson, 1960), and sexual values (Wilson, 1965).

## Arkoff's Happiness Critique

Arkoff (1975) provided a review of happiness literature relating the concept of psychotherapy and personal growth issues. Since most of the studies cited concern student populations, this review is focused upon happiness among young adults. Another feature of this review is that the occurrence of peak experiences (self-descriptions of happy moments) are presented in categorical fashion. Peak experiences are identified in other studies (Maslow, 1962; McClain \& Andrews, 1969).

Arkoff (1975) suggested predominant correlates associated with happiness: wealth, health, education, employment, high status jobs, marriage, singlehood in comparison with being widowed or divorced, social relationships, leisure pursuits, high self-esteem, and personal competence. These were consistently identified in the research literature (Bradburn, 1969; Fellows, 1966; Robinson \& Shaver, 1969; Wilson, 1967). Arkoff emphasized that the topic of happiness is worthy research area deserving of more attention in the psychotherapy world as a viable concern.

## Summary

For the sake of comprehensiveness and breadth, this research was highlighted by examining major happiness research reviews. Although the 1ists were lengthy, the following indicators were consistently reappearing: employment, fob satisfaction, good health, religion, and social relationships. Social relationships included one's spouse, family, and friends. Many correlates were indicative of personal qualities that related to happiness. These were self-esteem, positive functional feelings, pleasant childhood memories, social extroversion, contentment, the ability to love and give to others, future orientation, commitment toward goals, productivity, and self-actualization. Other correlates were related to economic factors such as property ownership and maintenance, income, wealth, and socioeconomic status.

Recent Studies

## Diener's Happiness Critique

Diener (1984) reported that after Wilson's (1967) happiness research review, at least 700 happiness-related studies have been published. Provided in his review was an update on some of these correlates that have been identified with subjective happiness and on the most theoretical contributions.

In earlier studies, estimates of satisfaction with areas such as oneself, family life and standard of living were found to correlate highly with life satisfaction. Many of these remain in present research. Related to standard of living and income, a positive relationship was found to exist between having happiness and having wealth (Easterlin, 1974). Satisfaction with one's income has been supported throughout the literature (Alston, Lowe \& Wrigley, 1974; Andrews \& Withey, 1974; Bortner \& Hultsch, 1970; C1emente \& Sauer, 1976; Freudiger, 1980; Mancini \& Orthner, 1980; Riddick, 1980). From these associations, Diener (1984) suggested that although happiness was reported to increase with income, once one's basic need levels were met, happiness didn't necessarily increase with income.

Since the mid 1970s, other demographic variables related to subjective happiness have emerged. In previous studies, young persons were found to be happier than older persons (Bradburn \& Caplovitz, 1965; Gurin et al., 1960; Kuhlen, 1948; Wessman, 1957). Diener (1984) noted that some inconsistencies seemed to exist in measuring age dif-
ferences. He believed that one reason for these inconsistencies might be that persons in each age group qualitatively interpretated happinessevolking situations.

Young people reported more joyful experiences; older persons may be able to look at the quality of their entire life and form a positive judgment. In many current studies the idea that there are some gender differences when it comes to subjective happiness is still supported. It has been suggested that there is a qualitative difference with women experiencing more negative affects but greater joys than men (Braun, 1977; Cameron, 1975; Gurin et a1., 1960), that young women are happier than their male counterparts, and that older women appear to be less happy than older men (Medley, 1980; Spreitzer \& Snyder, 1974).

Relationships appear to be intertwined with other variables. Employment remained strongly related to life satisfaction (Diener, 1984). Related to education, some studies have suggested that the most positive effects appear for women (Freudiger, 1980; Glenn \& Weaver, 1981b; Mitche11, 1976) while in other studies little significance was reported when other variables were controlled. Campbell (1981) concluded that the effects of education related to subjective happiness could simply be that the process served to open doors to alternative ways of life, acted as a resource, and raised an individual's life aspiration levels. Religion was found to hold significance for individuals (Hadaway, 1978). As found in earlier studies, marriage and family satisfaction were important or one of the most important, predictors of subjective
happiness (Campbell, Converse \& Rodgers, 1976; Freudiger, 1980; Glenn \& Weaver, 1979, 1981a; Michalos, 1980; Toseland \& Rasch, 1979-1980).

Social contact also remained an important predictor of happiness. Its relationship to subjective happiness has been reported (Anderson, 1977; Campbell et al., 1976; Edwards \& Klemmack, 1973; Falkman, 1973; Knapp, 1976; Markides \& Martin, 1979; Mitchell, 1976; 01sen, 1980; Palmore \& Luikart, 1972; Rhodes, 1980; Toseland \& Rasch, 1979-1980; VanCoevering, 1974; Zeglen, 1977).

The experience of loving and having a satisfying love relationship has been documented in the 1iterature (Anderson, 1977; Emmons, Larsen, Levine \& Diener, 1983 (cited in Diener, 1984); Freeman, 1978; Forrester, 1980; Gordon, 1975), although, according to Diener (1984), the finer complexities of these relationships are still being explored. In general, these correlates appear to be strongly related to subjective happiness, similar to the importance of friendships, family relationships, and social contact.

Of any variable that has been 1isted, self-esteem is thought to be one of the most important predictors of subjective happiness. This finding has been we11 reported in the research literature (Anderson, 1977; Czaja, 1975; Drumgoole, 1981; Ginandes, 1977; Higgins, 1978; Kozma \& Stones, 1978; Peterson, 1975; Pomerantz, 1978; Reid \& Ziegler, 1980; VanCoevering, 1974; Wilson, 1960). Campbell et al. (1976) found self-esteem to be one of the highest correlations of any variable. Other personality variables such as locus of control, intelligence
quotient, and the effects of one's temperament are thought to be associated with happiness.

The final factor, health, is thought to be highly associated with happiness (Edwards \& K1emmack, 1973; Larson, 1978; Markides \& Martin, 1979; Near, Rice \& Hunt, 1980; Ray, 1979; Riddick, 1980; Spreitzer \& Snyder, 1974; Toseland \& Rasch, 1979-1980; Zeglen, 1977). One speculation is that with good health, options to increase one's life satisfaction in many ways can be provided.

Diener (1984) suggested that while the volume of research has grown since the time of Wilson's (1967) review, more work is needed. Diener supported the idea that research studies must be founded on theoretical structures to better describe these influences. In many of these studies, the casual nature of these relationships remained unclear-whether happiness is due to the direct influence of one's social skills and positive personality traits or is due to environmental circumstances in which a positive outlook on life is fostered. An additional possibility is that these forces covary in ways that are unique to each individual as well as to each group. To explore some of these parameters, such as the degree of linerity and covariance, several of the most current happiness theories are presented.

Happiness Theory
In early studies, happiness was often conceptualized within various sociological and psychological frameworks but was not well grounded in happiness theory (Wilson, 1967). Since his review, several
theories have been proposed. First, in some theories that are related to goal seeking, the suggestion is made that happiness is the result of successfully reaching one's goals in life (Wilson, 1967), fulfilling needs (Michalos, 1980), and fulfilling one's life plan (Chekola, 1975). Some researches suggested that it is not possible to ever completely fulfill one's needs and achieve total happiness. Needs appear to be cyclical in nature, with new ones appearing as old ones are met (Houston, 1981; Tatarkiewicz, 1976). In activity theory, the suggestion is made that happiness is the by-product of important activities and goals (Csikszentmihalyi, 1975). A third theory, the bottom-up theory, is suggestive that happiness is the basic result of many small pleasures which have cummulated from the bottom-up (Kozma \& Stones, 1980). A fourth theory, the bottom-down theory, is based on the idea that a general attitude of happiness is experienced first and later is dispersed to positively influence the events one experiences in life In a positive manner (Andrews \& Withey, 1974; Tatarkiewicz, 1976). Proponents of the bottom-up and bottom-down theoretical approaches continue to debate as to whether happiness should be conceptualized as a state or a trait. A fifth theory, associationistic theory, is based on cognitive appraisal. Happiness is related to the attributions people make about events happening to them (Schwartz \& Clore, 1983) or associative networks in memory (Bower, 1981). In this theory happiness educational programs which emphasize cognitive reprogramming (Fordyce, 1977a; Kammann, 1983) would be included. A sixth theory type, judgment
theories, is related to the process of achieving happiness based on social comparison (Carp \& Carp, 1982; Michalos, 1980) or adaptation from a past life perspective (Brickman, Coates \& Janoff-Bulman, 1978; Parducci, 1968, 1982 (cited in Diener, 1984)). Another type of judgment theory is suggestive of happiness being related to aspirational level which is dependent upon the perception of the fulfilled versus total desires ratio formed. Diener (1984) suggested that the development of these theories would be enhanced by research supportive of the propositions espoused. So far, many of the theories have not received this treatment and would be enhanced by empirical analysis.

Finally, two theories that are most pertinent to this study are Multip1e Discrepancies Theory (MDT) developed by Michalos (1986) and a two component theory of happiness developed by Veenhoven (1984a). A final approach, also pertinent to this study, was developed by Fordyce (1977a). In this, the role of cognitive reprogramming in seeking happiness is emphasized. Details of those theories follow. Michalo's Multiple Discrepancies Theory

Michalos (1986) defined happiness as feelings and attitudes that were relatively long lasting and justified. This is in agreement with other conceptualizations (Tatarkiewicz, 1976; Veenhoven, 1984a). The Multiple Discrepancies Theory (MDT) was developed as a theory of satisfaction borrowing from other theories such as equity theory, cognitive dissonance theory, and person-environment theory. Basically,

Michalos postulated that net life satisfaction is the result of discrepancies between what one has and what one wants out of 1ife. At least seven perceived discrepancies were measured on a seven-point, Likert-type scale. The discrepancies are related to an individual's life as a whole and how big the gaps are between what one currently wanted, needed and expected in the past, like expectations in the future, and/or how these were compared with others of similar age and like circumstances. This theory has been applied to the aged, university clerical, and rural community members (Michalos, 1983, 1986), and to undergraduate university students representing 23 universities and 23 countries. He (1986) stated that among students, the two most influential variables regarding their satisfaction with their education were self-wants and self-other wants. He suggested that on the basis of MDT, well-founded information and a progressive vision were the elements needed to assist others in moving away from a dissatisfactory state of life to a more satisfactory one. Veenhoven's Two Component Theory of Happiness

Veenhoven (1984a, p. 17) defined happiness as "The overall appreciation of one's life as a whole." His conceptualization of happiness and an extensive review of research literature were presented in Condition of Happiness (Veenhoven, 1984a), and Data-book of Happiness (Veenhoven, 1984b). In these volumes are detailed analyses of some 245 empirical studies of happiness which date from 1911 to 1975. Most of the data are correlational studies in which 48 key variables,
two-thirds of which are considered statistically significant, are revealed. In the first volume is a listing of these studies. In the second volume the findings are presented. Veenhoven (1984a) provided his conceptualization of happiness and evaluated whether this conceptualization would be adequately measured by existing happiness measures.

Two happiness components are distinguished: hedonic level of affect and contentment. Hedonic level of affect is identified by Veenhoven as a composite description of the degree of one's pleasant affective experiences. Contentment is described as cognitive processes of appraising how well one's aspirations have been met. Veenhoven (1984a) analyzed these components and suggested that to gain a more complete picture of an individual's total happiness these cognitive and affective aspects would need to be combined. Overall happiness would be the result of this process, the combination of the individual's cognitive and affective dimensions (contentment and hedonic level of affect) as well as personality characteristics and environmental influences.

To measure these components, Veenhoven (1984a) suggested that questions related to the degree of pleasantness (hedonic level of affect) an individual experienced on a day by day basis should be asked. Aspiration level could be measured by content that is directed at how each individual effectively plans for the future, successfully attains goals, and utilizes existing talents. According to this theory, it is possible for the individual to experience variation and still
rate his or her overall happiness as generally high. For example, an indiviual might not enjoy his or her own working conditions, experiencing daily low hedonic level of affect, yet rate his or her own overall happiness high, as motivated by aspirations, family support, and other factors. Veenhoven suggested future research include: instrument development and validation, longitudinal and cross-cultural research, and the implementation of several types of analysis techniques.

## Fordyce's Cognitive Approach to Happiness

Fordyce (1972) defined happiness as a subjective, emotional experience of happy well-being and has spent the last several years developing measures to test his hypothesis among community college students (1977, 1981, 1983, 1985). Based on the assumptions that happiness can be taught, increased knowledge and self-growth can occur, Fordyce (1977b) attempted to answer two questions. The first question was whether individuals, if taught happiness characteristics, could modify their lifestyles to become more like happy persons, and secondly, if this knowledge would actually result in permanent changes. Fordyce developed two self-report measures in conjunction with an education program, the Happiness Measures and the Psychap Inventory (1977b, 1985). The Happiness Measures consisted of two indices of perceived quality and quantity of one's emotional morale. The Psychap Invantory (1985) was written and used to provide a diagnostic measure of happinessskills for those attending the fundamentals program. It also contained
subscales proported to measure personality, behavioral, and life-style domains of happiness.


#### Abstract

Summary Studies which measure happiness correlations and related theoretical concepts have been broadened since the mid-1970's. Employment, social contacts (including marriage and family relationships), and personal qualities such as self-esteem are three factors most strongly associated with subjective happiness. Michalos.' (1980) Multiple Discrepancies Theory (MDT) related to the fulfillment of needs. Veenhoven (1984a,b) provided a review of empirical studies and presented a two-component conception of happiness. Fordyce (1977a) presented a cognitive approach to conceptualize the affective experience of happiness by assisting the individual to form more positive cognitions about life. All three have used their approaches with student populations. In the next part of the review, the available literature on the needs and perceptions of national and international college student is summarized briefly.


College Student Satisfaction
This section of the review concerns college students' satisfaction with various aspects of their academic and nonacademic experiences. The needs and satisfactions of international students will also be highlighted.

## General Findings

In early studies assessing college students' satisfaction with their academic environments students were required to identify components of satisfaction or dissatisfaction (Bird, 1933; Gamelin, 1953 (cited in Taha, 1984); Wrenn \& Be11, 1942). Large undergraduate samples were examined and satisfaction was found related to quality of instruction (Bird, 1933); personal inner qualities verses types of activities (Gamelin, 1953); and overcoming learning difficulties (Wrenn \& Bell, 1942). Pervin (1967) found that student satisfaction was related to self-esteem and if rated on an "actual versus ideal" continuum, students with high levels of self-esteem reported increased satisfaction with their environments. Ernest (1966) also found that satisfaction was related to positive self-esteem in employed students who indicated high levels of job satisfaction. Self-congruence has been related to students' goal directedness and life purpose (Jenks, Kahane, Bobinski, \& Piermarini, 1979) and other factors such as happiness, trust, and identity development (Constantinople, 1970).

Related to social contact, Schmidt and Sedlacek (1972) studied social patterns among students and found that satisfied students had fewer emotional concerns, more contacts with faculty, and fewer difficulties choosing a major. Aitken (1982) found peer relationships to be an important factor in students' successful residential adjustment, although over socializing was identified with problems concerning
academics. Successful social contacts and the need to meet a variety of different people were explored in a study by Lokitz and Sprandle (1976). They found that during their first year students' perceptions were affected by their own social identity, residence, advisors, faculty contacts, classes, and campus activities. Students' selfesteem combined with positive experiences in these areas effected overall academic satisfaction.

International Student Adjustment
An increasing interest has been found in the study of the college environment as related to international students' perceptions, academic adjustments, academic achievements (Baty \& Dold, 1980; Hamilton, 1980; Sharp, 1982), and attitudes toward Iffe in the United States in general (Selltiz, Christ, Havel \& Cook, 1963). Taha (1984) suggested that a student's common goal was to complete his or her education while learning to accommodate and adjust to environmental demands. This view has also been documented in other studies related to students of specific nationalities such as: Chinese (Klein, Miller, \& Alexander, 1980; Leong, 1986) and Nigerian (Arubayi, 1981; Lever, 1983; Okwudishu, 1984).

International students' adjustment problems, needs, and satisfactions have been addressed. Herbert (1981) suggested that students receiving their early education based on a different educational model often have adjustment difficulties with the United States system. They appear to experience the greatest difficulties adjusting to their total
environment within the first six months (Arubayi, 1981; Si-Tayeb, 1982). English proficiency (Brandywine, 1965), social alientation (Owie, 1982), and financial problems (Lee, 1981; Okwudishu, 1984) were reported as major adjustment concerns.

Lee (1981) explored needs and satisfactions among international students in 30 universities and found that areas of greatest need were identified as financial and educational. Areas of least need were informational and academic. Students' greatest satisfaction was in fulfilling their educational goals. They had the least satisfaction in areas such as hopes of securing future employment and in maintaining financial security.

Research pertaining to students' perceptions of their experiences in the United States is suggestive that positive self-concept has a direct relationship to academic performance (Mehrinfar, 1982) and that perceptions vary with student's age, class level, country, and English proficiency (Culha, 1974 (cited in Taha, 1984); Kim, 1983; Sharp, 1982). Graduate students perceived fewer adjustment difficulties than undergraduate students as their goals were focused primarily on academics (Hull, 1978; Jammaz, 1973). These aspects appeared to have a different impact on students depending upon their perceptions needs, year in college, and reported satisfactions.

Summary
Satisfaction with college life has been the focus of studies among national and international students at the undergraduate and graduate levels. The research presented here is suggestive that the importance
of students' self-esteem as it has been related to the process of overall adjustment and academic success is important. International students who complete their educations in United States colleges and universities must cope with additional adjustments. Overall indicators such as high self-esteem, social contacts with a variety of different persons, adequate financial resources, and the hope of future employment after graduation were related to high satisfaction in all groups. Assessment of Happiness

Two main types of happiness measures have been reported in the subjective happiness 1iterature. Single item measures, the first type, have been used extensively in large-scale survey research (Cantril, 1965; Gurin et a1., 1960; Andrews \& Withey, 1974). Multi-item measures, the second type have been used with geriatric populations (Kozma \& Stones, 1980; Lawton, 1975; Morris \& Sherwood, 1975; Neugarten, Havighurst \& Tobin, 1961; Wood, Wylie \& Sheafor, 1969) to assess affect (Bradburn, 1969; Campbell et al., 1976; Wessman \& Ricks, 1966), personality dimensions (Fordyce, 1985), and general happiness estimates (Fordyce, 1977b). For the purpose of this review, the most commonly reported single item and multi-item instruments, suitable for use with student populations, will be reported. A commonly used measure of college student satisfaction will also be reported and followed by a brief summary.

Three single item measures have been used extensively: Cantril's
(1965) Self-Anchoring Ladder, The Gurin Scale, developed by Gurin
et al., (1960), and Andrews' and Withey's (1974) Delighted-Terrible Scale. The Self-Anchoring Ladder is a self-report ladder picture with rungs marked " 0 " to " 10 ". Respondents mark one rung between the best possible life "10" and the worst possible life "0." Responses are Indicative of how the subject is feeling at the present moment. The Gurin Scale is a self-report question which asks respondents to rate their general happiness in the present time frame with "very happy, pretty happy, or not too happy". The Delighted-Terrible Scale is a self-report question with "delighted" representing one end of the scale and "terrible" representing the other end. Respondents are asked to indicate how happy they are feeling about their life as a whole.

Five multi-item measures have been used extensively: The Affect Balance Scale (Bradburn, 1969), the Index of General Affect (Campbell et al., 1976), the Elation Depression Scale (Wessman \& Ricks, 1966), the Psychap Inventory (Fordyce, 1985), and the Happiness Measures (Fordyce, 1977b). The Affect Balance Scale consists of ten self-rated statements. Subjects are asked to respond "yes" or "no" when considering their positive and negative affective experiences over a period of time. The Index of General Affect is a ten-pair semantic differential self-report scale. "Least favorable" to "most favorab1e" responses are marked in consecutive order from one to ten. Individual mean values are calculated. The Elation Depression Scale is a self-report dally mood diary. Respondents are asked to rate the amount of depression,
happiness or unhappiness they experienced that day by checking one of ten item statements. Total feelings of elation are represented at one end of the scale while total feelings of depression and gloom are at the other end.

The Psychap Inventory, an 80 item self-report measure, was designed as a diagnostic personality instrument. Subjects circle one dichotomous response per each 80-pair question group. Questions are related to achieved personal happiness, happy personality, happiness values, personal attitudes and happy lifestyle. Another instrument developed by Fordyce (1977b) was the Happiness Measure, an 1l-item self-report scale of emotional morale. In this instrument, two aspects of an individual's hedonic affect are measured: (1) the frequency of experienced moods and (2) the intensity of experienced moods. These two areas are combined to create a composite score of emotional morale.

One instrument in which an attempt is made to deal with student satisfaction and is somewhat related to happiness, although not specifically perceived happiness, is The College Student Satisfaction Questionnaire (CSSQ). This has been widely used since its inception in the early 1970s (Betz, Klingensmith, \& Menne, 1970). This is a 70-item self-report instrument in which five aspects of college life are measured: (1) compensation, (2) recognition, (3) quality of education, (4) social 1ife, and (5) working conditions. Students use a five-point Likert-type scale and answer each question with a range
of "very dissatisfied" to "very satisfied". Scores are summed and mean values calculated.

## Summary

In this section of the review, several instruments designed to assess the affective and cognitive aspects of an individual's subjective happiness, were presented. Two types of instruments have been developed: (1) a single-item survey questionnaire, used mainly in large scale Social Indicators research, and (2) multi-item instruments, used in specific, comparative research projects. One measure of college student satisfaction was reported. Its dimensions were concerned with certain aspects of a college student's satisfaction with his or her academic environment. While related to life satisfaction, this instrument was not specifically developed to measure perceived happiness.

## Summary

The approach of this review was to present the findings of several of the well known reviews of happiness literature written during the last twenty years. Many important studies were identified from the early part of this century to the present time, each involved in the growth and complexity inherent in happiness research. Several theories have been proposed in an attempt to describe the relationship parameters among happiness and several variables, as well as to define happiness as a state or a trait. This was followed with a review of research related to national and international college
student satisfaction. Since no known instruments could independently, or in combinations, be used to assess aspects related to the construct of perceived happiness among university students, a new instrument was developed by this researcher.

## METHODOLOGY

The purpose of this study was to develop an instrument capable of identifying sources of perceived happiness potentially related to a university population. These sources of happiness would be measurable in young adults, regardless of national origin. This process involved the following steps: (1) hypothesizing apriori sources of happiness, (2) developing an instrument, (3) conducting a pilot study, (4) revising and developing the instrument, then (5) implementing the main study and data analysis. The Actual and Ideal Happiness Scale (AIHS) was the result of this process and used in the main study. This chapter will identify the subjects used, then focus on the inception of an instrument, outlining its development and modification through the pilot and main studies. The final segments will identify how the data were collected and analyzed for the pilot and main studies.

## Subjects

The subjects in this study were all Iowa State University students representing undergraduate and graduate level classifications and various ethnic backgrounds. A total of 831 students participated. Specifically, a total of 301 male and female subjects participated in the pilot study; 182 of them were enrolled in introductory education courses while the remaining 119 were enrolled in introductory psychology courses. The sample consisted of 134 males and 167 females. All represented various undergraduate majors and were of North American nationality. A total of 530 subjects, 323 North American and 207
international students participated in the main study. Specifically, the North American group consisted of 119 males and 204 females with at least $90 \%$ of the group of undergraduate level classification. The International group consisted of 147 males and 49 females with at least $79 \%$ of the group, of graduate level classification. All of the students were enrolled during the 1986-1987 academic year and were selected primarily due to their groups' accessibility to the investigator. Instrument Development

## Pilot Study

Steps were taken to develop an instrument capable of assessing five hypothesized dimensions of happiness: future aspirations, physical attractiveness, interpersonal relationships, sharing with others, and creative pursuits. These factors were based on correlates positively associated with happiness that were identified in the research literature. A pool of 66 items was written which were thought to be related to the five identified happiness factors and measure students current perceptions of happiness. This instrument was administered to graduate classes for evaluation. It was later sent out for evaluation to six noted researchers in the field of happiness and life satisfaction, four researchers in the field of cross-cultural education, and seven researchers at Iowa State University (see Appendix A and B). The instrument was revised and expanded in accordance with the evaluators comments (see Appendix C).

The pilot study instrument was administered to 301 Iowa State

University undergraduate students. A least squares factor analysis procedure was applied to the responses. Following the factor extraction, the factors were rotated with a varimax solution.

As shown in Appendix D, four factors were identified. Factor one contained the following five items: "13. Being a good friend to others", "10. Having one or more close friends", "60. Helping others", "56. Being sensitive toward others needs", "24. Spending time with one or more close friends"!' This factor was labeled Social relationships. The Spearman Brown estimate of internal consistency was . 83.

The second factor contained four items: "8. Being satisfied with my physical appearance", "6. Feeling satisfied with my body shape and size", "5. Having others consider me an attractive person", "43. Thinking that I am sexually appealing". This factor was labeled Physical attractiveness and its Spearman Brown estimate of internal consistency was . 78.

The third factor, Assisting others, contained three items: "36. Caring for children", "37. Spending time with children", and "23. Teaching others new skills". The Spearman Brown estimate of internal consistency was . 70 .

The final factor, labeled Desire for learning, contained four items: "47. Learning new things", "38. Solving work or schoo1related problems", "54. Writing, related to academic and/or other areas", and "63. Obtaining knowledge by reading". The Spearman Brown
estimate of internal consistency was .62.
Items which did not load on factors were dropped and new items were added in order to investigate further aspects thought to be related to a student's happiness such as life goal orientation and the desire to maintain one's health.

## Main Study

The revisions on the pilot study instrument resulted in the development of the "Actual and Ideal Happiness Scale" which was condensed to a thirty item format (see Appendix E). Each item was selected because it appeared to load highly in the pilot study factor analysis and because it was potentially identified with happiness in the research literature. To add to the construct definition of students' perceived happiness students were asked to respond to each item in two ways. First, by how each item would contribute to their perception of actual happiness and second, by how each item would contribute to their perception of ideal happiness. To control for response bias, one-fourth of the.items were written in a reverse response direction.

The AIHS was administered to a total of 530 undergraduate and graduate level ISU students during the Spring, 1987 semester. The sample was divided into two groups, 323 North American students and 207 International students. Since four a priori factors were predicted, a sample of at least 240 subjects per group would have been most desirable.

To control for irrelevant sources of variance on the pilot and
main study instruments, the response format (1-99) and probit transformation procedures were used on 66 items and 30 item revisions as suggested by Liu (1971), Wolins (1982), and Wolins and Dickinson (1973). On the pilot study instrument students were asked to indicate the extent to which each of the 66 items would or would not substantially contribute to their current happiness. This format was modified on the main study instrument. Students were asked to indicate the extent to which each of the 30 items presently contributed to their happiness (actual happiness) and how much they wanted these items to contribute to their happiness (ideal happiness). If they were uncertain or neutral about their response, they were to write " 50 ". The response range included whole numbers from 1-99. Since the responses to these instruments depended on subjects' self-reports, the response biases of social desirability and faking were considered. To control for these sources of bias, students were informed of the purpose of the study and the importance of their responding honestly. Any identifying information such as student names, classes, or addresses were not included on the instrument so that their responses would be kept confidential (see Appendix F).

Data Collection
The Iowa State University Committee on the Use of Human Subjects In Research reviewed this project and concluded that the rights and welfare of the human subjects were adequately protected, that risks were outweighed by the potential benefits and expected value of the
knowledge sought, that confidentiality was assured and that informed consent was obtained by appropriate procedures. A copy of this approved proposal was submitted to the assistant director of the ISU International Education Services, whose cooperation made data collection among the international student groups possible.

## Pilot Study

A total of 301 undergraduate North American students participated in the pilot study. The collection of data among these groups was handled by distributing instruments to various introductory psychology and education courses. Of the 301 subjects who participated, the return rate was $95 \%$.

## Main Study

The collection of data among the American student groups was handled by distributing instruments to various education and psychology undergraduate classes. Of the 323 North American subjects who participated, the return rate was $98 \%$.

The collection of data among the International students groups involved a different procedure as it was not possible to collect among groups of International students who were concentrated in classroom settings. Group leaders representing 49 international campus organizations were contacted and 29 agreed to participate in the data collection process (see Appendix G). This investigator met with each group leader by correspondence, phone contact and meeting, explained the purposes of the study, assurance of confidentiality, and procedures
for returning completed surveys. Of the 850 surveys distributed in this manner, 207 were returned, for a return rate of $24 \%$.

The directions for data collection included handling the instruments and directions for the subjects. Information included on all instruments included the purpose of the study and an explanation of the response format. The subjects were informed of the importance of their responding honestly, the confidentiality of their responses and that participation in the study was completely voluntary.

Data Analysis
Data analysis procedures were conducted for the pilot and main studies. In the pilot study, the purpose of the data analysis was to determine which items would cluster according to the hypothesized factors, to the extent that they were interpretable. In the main study, the purpose of the data analysis was expanded to three parts: (1) to determine if the 30 items on the AIHS would cluster on hypothesized dimensions related to students' perceptions of happiness, regardless of national origin, (2) to determine if cross-national differences emerged among North American and International groups, and (3) to determine if differences existed for individuals on their "actual" verses "ideal" item responses. To accomplish this purpose the data were analyzed using procedures according to the Statistical Analysis System (Statistical Analysis System Institute, 1982).

Pilot Study
Data for the pilot study were transformed to standard scores using the computer program PROBIT prior to the factor analysis. Next, the transformed scores were correlated using the program PROC CORR. The factors were extracted using a Least Squares procedure iterating for communalities using the computer program PRINIT. Using the $\mathbb{N}$ Factor criterion, thirty factors were extracted.

A range of factors to rotate was indicated by inspecting the pattern of latent roots. To determine the number of factors to rotate two methods were used. The first method involved using the scree test as advocated by Cattell (1966). The results of the factor extraction were 1 isted from the greatest values over 1.00 to the least values under 1.00. A break in the latent root pattern, as suggested by Wolins (1982) was used to determine the minimum number of factors to rotate. A second method was to look at eigen values and eliminate those under 1.00 .

The factors were rotated using a varimax procedure. The procedure was applied because it maximized the sum of variances of the squared factor loadings. Starting with the largest solution working backwards to the smallest solution, the rotated factor with the smallest variance was evaluated. The factor was considered interpretable when items contained reflected conceptual similarity. It was then selected.


#### Abstract

Main Study Data for the main study involved four separate factor analysis procedures for the North American and International students' "Actual" responses and their "Ideal" responses. The methodology was the same as identified in the pilot study factor analysis. The data were transformed to standard scores, correlated, and thirty factors were extracted on each. The scree test and eigen value procedure were used. Factors were rotated using a varimax procedure and selected based on the same criterion as identified in the pilot study data analysis.

In order to further investigate items which differentiated between cultures and sexes individual item differences were contrasted among American and International males and females. The statistical procedures used were recommended by Wolins (1982). In order to determine if five student groups differed significantly on any of the AIHS items five dummy variables were constructed contrasting: (1) North American with International students, (2) males with females, (3) North American males with International males, (4) North American females with International females, and (5) North American males and females. These dummy variables served as artificial variables used to denote the classification of the observations on the AIHS items (Brown, 1976). Each of the AIHS items was then correlated with the dummy variable (Statistical Analysis System Institute, 1982). To reduce the chances that such a large number of probabilities would be significant due to chance alone, a conservative level of significance ( $p<.001$ ) was employed.


A final procedure involved determining the significance of individuals' item differences between the "actual" and "ideal" components of each AIHS question. A series of paried T-tests were computed to determine the differences among: (1) North American students, (2) International students, (3) North American males, (4) North American females, (5) International males, and (6) International females. Again, to reduce the chance that such a large number of probabilities would be significant due to chance alone, a conservative level of significance ( $p<.001$ ) was employed.

RESULTS
The purpose of this study was to develop an instrument capable of identifying sources of perceived happiness potentially related to a university student population. The pilot study instrument data analysis was conducted to determine which items would cluster according to hypothesized factors. THE AIHS, used in the main study, was based on these hypothesized factors and was developed for the purpose of having subjects respond to item statements based on how much each contributed to their actual or ideal perception of happiness. Similar to the pilot study instrument, the same response scale was used, controlling for response bias was maintained as well as subjects' confidentiality. The main study data analysis was devised to explore the following questions: (1) to determine if the 30 AIHS items would cluster on hypothesized dimensions, regardless of student's national origin, (2) to determine if cross-national differences emerged among North American and international groups by mean score comparisons, and (3) to determine if differences eixsted among individuals on their "actual" verses "ideal" item responses by paired t-tests. This chapter will present subjects' demographic data, results of the American and international groups' factor analysis on their "actual" and "ideal" responses, results of the item contrasts among the various groups, and results of the "actual" verses "ideal" paired t-tests among individuals in each group.

Demographic Data
Demographic data for the American males and females and the international male and female groups are presented in Tables 1 and 2. Each table displays the characteristics of each group according to age, marital status, year in college, community size of origin, Iowa State University attendance, college in major, shared living quarters, highest level of education completed by the family main income earner, time spent pursuing leisure activities, and grade point average. On the international male and female groups, two additional variables were available; time spent in the United States and nationality. The characteristics of each group will now be highlighted by displaying percentages.

American Males and Females
Demographic data on the American males and females are presented in Tables 1 and 2. A total of 119 males and 204 females who participated in the main study. The most frequent percentage reported for age was 22 years for males and 21 years for females. A large majority of these subjects were single; $89 \%$ for males and $92 \%$ for females. The most frequent percentage reported for year in college on both groups was the senior classification. Over $50 \%$ of these students resided in off-campus housing. American males reported a community size of origin of 10,000 to 49,000 most frequently. The most frequently reported community size of origin for females was also 10,000 to 49,000 . On each group, almost $50 \%$ had attended Iowa State University a total
of 1 to 11 months. In terms of the college representing the major field of study, males' majors tended to vary among five of the eight colleges while almost half of the females were education majors. Males most frequently tended to share living quarters with two or more persons, while females most frequently shared living quarters with one person. At least $65 \%$ of the American males and $66 \%$ of the American females reported that the highest level of educational attainment of the family main income earner was completion of a baccalaureate degree at a college or university. At least $25 \%$ of the males and $22 \%$ of the females reported that the next highest level of income obtained was a secondary school diploma. The majority of the remaining percentage for both groups was accounted for by the family main income earner obtaining vocational school training. Each group estimated that their present G.P.A. fell within the $2.00-2.99$ category and most frequently spent up to twenty hours per week pursuing lesiure activities.

Insert Tables 1 and 2 about here

## International Males and Females

Demographic data on the international males and females are presented in Tables 3 and 4. There were 147 males and 59 females who participated. The most frequent percentage reported for age on both groups was 23 years or more. At least $63 \%$ of the males were single while 58\% of the females reported being single. Both groups reported the graduate classification most frequently in terms of their year in
college. Over $50 \%$ of these students resided in on-campus housing. Each group most frequently reported a community size of origin of 300,000 or more. International males' attendance at Iowa State University was diversely spread but the most frequently reported percentage of attendance was between 24 to 35 months. Females' most frequently reported percentage of attendance was between 1 to 11 months. The most frequent college in the major field of study was engineering for males, science and humanities for females, although three to four colleges were represented for each group. Males shared living quarters with two or more persons; females most frequently shared living quarters with one person. At least $67 \%$ of the international males and $73 \%$ of the international females reported that the highest level of education attainment of the family main income earner was completion of a baccalaureate degree at a college or university. This was followed by at least $16 \%$ of the international males and $12 \%$ of the international females reporting that the family main income earner had obtained a secondary school diploma. The next most frequently reported categories, similar to the American groups, was the international students' main income earner obtaining vocational training. Each group estimated that there present G.P.A. fell within the $3.00-3.99$ category and most frequently spent between one to nine hours per week pursuing leisure activities.

[^0]Some differences emerged among each group. In the American group, male and female subjects were more evenly distributed to total number and tended to be younger than their international counterparts. Each group reported single marital status most frequently. American males and females most frequently reported being in the senior level classification compared to international students who most frequently reported being in the graduate level classification. A majority of American students resided off campus while international students resided oncampus. The American male group, as well as the International male and female groups came from community sizes of origin over 300,000 or more in population. American students most frequent percentage of Iowa State University attendance averaged one year compared to international students' attendance of one to three years. American females had the highest percentage of majors in one college, education, followed by International males, whose college was engineering. Almost all of the other colleges were represented in this study. In each group, males most frequently shared living quarters with at least two persons; females shared with one person. Both American and international groups reported that the family main income earner had earned at least a baccalaureate degree from a college or university followed by a secondary school diploma. American students' G.P.A.'s were a full point lower than the international students. American students frequently reported up to twenty hours of time spent per week pursuing leisure activities while international students reported up to ten hours per week spent on leisure activities.

## Factor Analysis


#### Abstract

Actual Happiness Items In both the American and international groups, seven factors were extracted using a Least Squares procedure on their AIHS "actual" item responses. The eigen values for each of the seven factors for the American group and international groups' "actual" happiness items are shown in Table 5. For the American group, the magnitude of change between the successive latent roots of Factors 3 and 4 was .27, and the latent roots began to level off at Factor 6. Therefore, the range of factors that were rotated was from three to five factors. In the international group, the magnitude of change between the successive latent roots of Factors 5 and 6 was .34 , and the latent roots began to level off at Factor 7. Therefore, the range of factors that were rotated was from three to six factors.


Insert Table 5 about here

The factors were rotated using a varimax (orthogonal) rotation. In both the American and international groups, factor four of the fourth rotated factor matrix was interpretable by this author. Therefore, four factors for each group were retained. The rotated factor matrix for the American group and the international group are shown in Tables 6 and 7.

Insert Tables 6 and 7 about here

For the American students, the four factors accounted for $12.91 \%$ of the variance of the responses to the instrument. Percentages of the variance accounted for were as follows: Factor $1=3.60 \%$, Factor $2=$ $3.30 \%$, Factor $3=3.04 \%$, and Factor $4=2.96 \%$. For the international students, the four factors accounted for $12.49 \%$ of the variance of the reponses to the instrument. Percentages of variance accounted for were as follows: Factor $1=4.31 \%$, Factor $2=3.05 \%$, Factor $3=2.71 \%$, and Factor $4=2.42 \%$.

Items were retained if they loaded on only one factor. Items with low factor loadings were dropped from the factors so that a maximum reliability estimate for each factor would be obtained. The Spearman Brown estimate of internal consistency was used for each factor. The items retained for the American and international group and their corresponding Spearman Brown estimates were presented in Tables 8 and 9.

## Insert Tables 8 and 9 about here

For the American student group, four factors were identified. Factor 1 was labeled Goal Striving and contained the following: "24. Thinking about current academic and/or professional goals", "26. Making time to think about current academic and/or professional goals", "16. Spending time thinking about future goals in life", "18. Developing plans to achieve my goals", "1. Thinking about goals that I would like to achieve". The Spearman Brown estimate of internal consistency was .82. Factor 2, 1abeled Physical Appearance, contained
four items: "10. Feeling satisfied with my body shape and size", "2. Feeling satisfied with my physical appearance", "3. Being sexually appealing to others", and "12. Being concerned about my physical appearance". The Spearman Brown estimate of internal consistency was .82. The third factor contained three items: "23. Being a good friend to others", "14. Spending time with one or more close friends," and "20. Having a good relationship with one or more parent". Labeled Social Relationships, the Spearman Brown estimate of internal consistency was .68. The fourth factor, labeled Assisting Others, had three itesm: "11. Spending time with children", "4. Caring for children", and "5. Teaching others new skills". The Spearman Brown estimate of internal consistency was . 79 .

Four factors were also identified for the international student group. Factor 1, labeled Goal Striving, consisted of five items: "16. Spending time thinking about future goals in life", "18. Developing plans to achieve my goals", "26. Making time to think about current academic and/or professional goals", "28. Learning new things", and "7. Obtaining knowledge by reading". The Spearman Brown estimate of internal consistency was .74. The second factor, Physical Appearance, contained four items" "2. Feeling satisfied with my body shape and size", "10. Feeling satisfied with my physical appearance", "3. Being sexually appealing to others", and "22. Being concerned about my physical appearance. The Spearman Brown estimate of internal consistency was .67. Social Relationships, the third
factor, contained four items: "23. Being a good friend to others", "14. Spending time with one or more close friends", "15. Investing effort into my personal relationships", and "19. Feeling accepted by others". The Spearman Brown estimate of internal consistency was .67. The fourth factor identified as Assisting Others, consisted of three items: "4. Caring for children", "11. Spending time with children", and "21. Volunteering to help those in need". The Spearman Brown estimate of internal consistency was $\mathbf{. 6 5}$.
For each group, certain items emerged on each "actual" factor analysis. Related to Goal Striving were items 16,18 , and 26 . Related to Physical Appearance, all items emerged similarly for each group. Related to Social Relationships, items 14 and 23 emerged on each group. On Assisting Others, two items emerged, 4 and 11.

## Ideal Happiness Items

In both the American and international groups, seven factors were extracted using a Least Squares procedure on their AIHS "ideal" item responses. The eigen values for the American and international groups' "ideal" happiness items are shown in Table 10. For the American group, the magnitude of change between the successive latent roots of Factors 4 and 5 was .45, and the latent roots begin to level off at Factor 6. Therefore, the range of factors that were rotated was from three to five factors. In the international group, the magnitude of change between the successive latent roots of Factors 5 and 6 was .22 and the latent roots began to level off at Factor 7. Therefore, the range of factors that were rotated was from three to five factors.


#### Abstract

Insert Table 10 about here

Similar to the "actual" happiness factor analysis, the factors were rotated using a varimax (orthogonal) rotation. In both the American and international groups, factor four was interpretable by this author. Four factors for each group were retained. The rotated factor matrix for the American group and the international group are shown in Tables 11 and 12.


## Insert Tables 11 and 12 about here

For the American students, the four factors accounted for 13.14\% of the variance of the responses to the instrument. Percentages of the variance accounted for were as follows: Factor $1=4.12 \%$, Factor $2=3.72 \%$, Factor $3=3.15 \%$, and Factor $4=2.15 \%$. For the international students, the four factors accounted for $12.12 \%$ of the variance of the responses to the instrument. Percentages of the variance accounted for were as follows: Factor $1=3.60 \%$, Factor $2=2.96 \%$, Factor $3=2.82 \%$, and Factor $4=2.74 \%$.

Similar to the "actual" item responses, items were retained if they loaded on one factor. Items with low factor loadings were dropped so that a maximum reliability estimate for each factor would be obtained. The Spearman Brown estimate of internal consistency was used for each factor. The items retained for the American and international group and their corresponding Spearman Brown estimates are
presented in Tables 13 and 14.

Insert Tables 13 and 14 about here

For the American student group, four factors were identified. Factor 1 was labeled Physical Attractiveness and contained the following: "10. Feeling satisfied with my physical appearance", "3. Being sexually appealing to others", "2. Feeling satisfied with my body shpae and size", "22. Being concerned about my physical appearance", "27. Evaluating others' opinions about my attractiveness". The Spearman Brown estimate of internal consistency was .80. The second factor was labeled Social Relationships and contained the following items: "23. Being a good friend to others", "14. Spending time with one or more close friends", "15. Investing effort into my personal relationships", "20. Having a good relationship with one or more parent", and "13. Assisting others to meet their needs". The Spearman Brown estimate of internal consistency was .71. The third factor was labeled Goal Striving and contained the following three items: "26. Making time to think about current academic and/or professional goals", "7. Obtaining knowledge by reading", and "29. Writing, related to academic and/or other areas". The Spearman Brown estimate of internal consistency was .58. The fourth factor was labeled Assisting Others and consisted of the following two items: "11. Spending time with children" and "4. Caring for children". The Spearman Brown estimate of internal consistency was .70.

For the international student group, four factors were identified. Factor 1 was labeled Social Relationships and consisted of the following four items: "23. Being a good friend to others", "19. Fee1ing accepted by others", "17. Solving personal problems", and "14. Spending time with one or more close friends". The Spearman Brown estimate of internal consistency was .64. Three items were identified in the second factor labeled Goal Striving: "26. Making time to think about current academic and/or professional goals", "24. Thinking about current academic and/or professional goals", and "16. Spending time thinking about future goals in life". The Spearman Brown estimate of internal consistency was .66. The third factor, Assisting Others, contained the following three items: "4. Caring for children", "11. Spending time with children", and "5. Teaching others new skills". The Spearman Brown estimate of internal consistency was .72. The fourth factor was labeled Physical Attractiveness and contained the following itesm: "2. Feeling satisfied with my body shape and size", "3. Being sexually appealing to others", "10. Feeling satisfied with my physical appearance", and "27. Evaluating others' opinions about my attractiveness". The Spearman Brown estimate of internal consistency was .72.

For each group, certain items emerged on each "ideal" factor analysis. Related to Physical Attractiveness were items 10, 3, 2, and 27. Related to Social Relationships were items 23 and 14. One item was related to Goal Striving, item 26, among the American and interna-
tional analysis. Related to the fourth factor Assisting Others were items 4 and 11.

## Item Contrasts

## Actual Item Contrasts

Several of the "actual" AIHS items significantly differentiated between the contrasted groups at the $p<.001$ level. The AIHS item mean score comparisons of the American and international groups are shown in Table 15. The American group scored significantly higher than the international group on items 3, 14, 22, 23, and 25; and significantly lower on items 7, 12, and 29.

Insert Table 15 about here

The AIHS item mean score comparisons of the male and female groups are shown in Table 16. The females scored significantly higher than the male group on all items 23, 15, and 14.

Insert Table 16 about here

The AIHS item mean score comparisons of the American and international male groups are shown in Table 17. The American male group scored significantly higher than the international male group on item 22 and significantly lower on items 12 and 7.

Insert Table 17 about here

The AIHS item mean score comparisons of the American and interna-
tional female groups are shown in Table 18. The international female group scored significantly higher than the American female group on item 7.

Insert Table 18 about here

The AIHS item mean score comparisons of the American male and female groups are shown in Table 19. The American female group scored significantly higher on item 23.

Insert Table 19 about here

No items were found to be significant between the international male and female groups.

Ideal Item Contrasts
Several of the "ideal" AIHS items significantly differentiated between the contrasted groups. These items were found to be significant at the $\mathrm{p}<.001$ leve1. The AIHS item mean score comparisons of the American and international groups are shown in Table 20. The American group scored significantly higher than the international group on items 23, 15, and 14; and significantly lower on items $29,7,6$, and 3.

```
Insert Table 20 about here
```

The AIHS mean score comparisons of the male and female groups are shown in Table 21. The females scored significantly higher on all items: $23,20,15,14,13$, and 8.

Insert Table 21 about here

The AIHS mean score comparisons of the American and international male groups are shown in Table 22. The international male group scored significantly higher in all items: 29, 21, 11, 7, and 6.

Insert Table 22 about here

The AIHS mean score comparisons of the American and international female groups are shown in Table 23. The international female group scored significantly higher on both items: 29 and 7.

Insert Table 23 about here

The AIHS mean score comparisons of American male and female groups are shown in Table 24. The American female group scored significantly higher on all ten items : $28,21,20,17,16,15,13,11,8$, and 6.

Insert Table 24 about here

No items were found to be significant among international male and female groups.

> T-Tests

In all groups, individuals' differences between their "actual" and "ideal" responses were assessed by a series of paired t-tests. The purpose of the t-tests were to determine if significant discrepancies existed between how much each item contributed to the individual's


#### Abstract

"actua1" happiness verses "ideal" happiness. For each group, individuals' discrepancies between these two constructs were significant at the $p<.001$ level on most of the AIHS items. of these significant items, all of the "ideal" means were found to be greater than the "actual" means. These t-tests will now be examined. American T-Tests


Paired t-tests for the American subjects are shown in Table 25. Out of thirty AIHS items, one item was not significant, item 27.

Insert Table 25 about here

## International T-Tests

Paired t-tests for the international subjects are shown in Table 26. Out of thirty AIHS items, all were found to have significant mean differences.

Insert Table 26 about here

## American Male T-Tests

Paired t-tests for the Anerican male subjects are shown in Table 27. Of the thirty AIHS items, three items were not significant: 19 , 22 , and 27.

Insert Table 27 about here

## International Male T-Tests

Paired t-tests for the international male subjects are shown in
Table 28. Out of thirty AIHS items, one item was not significant,
item 27.

Insert Table 28 about here

## American Female T-Tests

Paired t-tests for the American female subjects are shown in Table 29. Out of thirty AIHS items, two items were not significant: 19 and 22.

Insert Table 29 about here

## International Female T-Tests

Paired t-tests for the international female subjects are shown in Table 30. Out of thirty AIHS items, five items were not significant: 2, 3, 12, 22, and 27 .

$$
\text { Insert Table } 30 \text { about here }
$$

Summary
To analyze the AIHS data for the American and international groups, three procedures were identified: factor analysis, mean score comparisons and paired t-tests. Factor analysis was used to determine which items would cluster according to the a priori hypothesized factors identified in the research literature. Mean score comparisons were used to determine which AIHS item responses significantly differed among groups' sex and culture on the "actual" and "ideal" happiness dimensions. The final procedure involved a series of paired t-tests per individual assessing differences in "actual" verses "ideal" responses. All items were measured at the $p<.001$ level of significance.

Table 1. Demographic percentages on the American male group ( $\mathrm{n}=119$ )

| Variable | \% | Variable | \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age: |  | Community size of origin: |  |
| 18 years | 4 | less than 1,000 | 14 |
| 19 years | 11 | 1,000 to 4,999 | 17 |
| 20 years | 20 | 5,000 to 9,999 | 12 |
| 21 years | 20 | 10,000 to 49,999 | 21 |
| 22 years | 23 | 50,000 to 99,999 | 14 |
| 23 or more years | 22 | 100,000 to 299,999 | 13 |
|  |  | 300,000 or more | 7 |
|  |  | Varied in 1ife | 2 |
| Marital status: |  | Attendance at I.S.U.: |  |
| Single | 89 | 1 to 11 months | 48 |
| Married | 10 | 12 to 23 months | 12 |
| Separated | -- | 24 to 35 months | 16 |
| Divorced | 1 | 36 to 47 months | 15 |
| Widowed | -- | 48 or more months | 9 |
| Year in college: |  | College in major: |  |
| Freshman | 11 | Agriculture | 13 |
| Sophomore | 19 | Business administration | 11 |
| Junior | 26 | Design | 2 |
| Senior | 43 | Education | 31 |
| Graduate | -- | Engineering | 20 |
| Undeclared | -- | Home Economics | 2 |
| Special student | 1 | Science and humanities | 20 |
|  |  | Veterinary medicine | 1 |
| Residing in I.S.U. housing: |  | Shared living quarters: |  |
| I.S.U. housing | 40 | None | 9 |
| Non I.S.U. Housing | 60 | One person | 38 |
|  |  | Two or more persons | 53 |
| Highest level of education completed by the main income earner: |  | Time spent pursuing leisure activities per week: |  |
| Unknown | 1 | 1 to 9 hours | 40 |
| Elementary K-8 | 2 | 10 to 19 hours | 32 |
| Secondary 9-12 | 25 | 20 to 29 hours | 20 |
| Vocational 1-4 | 7 | 30 to 39 hours | 3 |
| College/university | 65 | 40 to 49 hours | 2 |
|  |  | 50 or more hours | 3 |

Table 1. (continued)

| Variable | $\%$ | Variable |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Present G.P.A.: |  |  |
| .00 to .99 | - |  |
| 1.00 to 1.99 | 4 |  |
| 2.00 to 2.99 | 31 |  |
| 3.00 to 3.99 | 1 |  |
| Unknown |  |  |

Table 2. Demographic percentages on the American female group ( $n=204$ )

| Variable | \% | Variable | \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age: |  | Community size of origin: |  |
| 18 years | 7 | less than 1,000 | 14 |
| 19 years | 17 | 1,000 to 4,999 | 21 |
| 20 years | 22 | 5,000 to 9,999 | 19 |
| 21 years | 28 | 10,000 to 49,999 | 25 |
| 22 years | 16 | 50,000 to 99,999 | 10 |
| 23 or more years | 10 | 100,000 to 299,999 | 6 |
|  |  | 300,000 or more | 4 |
|  |  | Varied in 1ife | 1 |
| Marital status: |  | Attendance at I.S.U.: |  |
| Single | 92 | 1 to 11 months | 49 |
| Married | 6 | 12 to 23 months | 18 |
| Separated | -- | 24 to 35 months | 12 |
| Divorced | 2 | 36 to 47 months | 19 |
| Widowed | -- | 48 or more months | 2 |
| Year in college: |  | College in major: |  |
| Freshman | 14 | Agriculture | 3 |
| Sophomore | 24 | Business administration | 15 |
| Junior | 28 | Design | 3 |
| Senior | 32 | Education | 47 |
| Graduate | 2 | Engineering | 1 |
| Undeclared | -- | Home Economics | 13 |
| Special student | -- | Science and humanities | 18 |
|  |  | Veterinary medicine | -- |
| Residing in I.S.U. housing: |  | Shared living quarters: |  |
| I.S.U. housing | 48 | None | 2 |
| Non I.S.U. housing | 52 | One person | 54 |
|  |  | Two or more persons | 44 |
| Highest level of education completed by the main income earner: |  | Time spent pursuing leisure activities per week: |  |
| Unknown | 1 | 1 to 9 hours | 37 |
| Elementary K-8 | 2 | 10 to 19 hours | 38 |
| Secondary 9-12 | 22 | 20 to 29 hours | 17 |
| Vocational 1-4 | 9 | 30 to 39 hours | 4 |
| College/university | 66 | 40 to 49 hours | 3 |
|  |  | 50 or more hours | 1 |

Table 2. (continued)

| Variable | $\%$ | Varlable |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Present G.P.A.: |  |  |
| .00 to .99 | - |  |
| 1.00 to 1.99 | 1 |  |
| 2.00 to 2.99 | 41 |  |
| 2.00 to 3.99 | 3 |  |

Table 3. Demographic percentages on the international male group ( $\mathrm{n}=147$ )


Table 3. (continued)

| Variable | $\%$ | Variable | $\%$ |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: |
| Present G.P.A.: |  | Nationality: |  |
| .00 to .99 | - | Africa |  |
| 1.00 to 1.99 | 1 | British Isles | 2 |
| 2.00 to 2.99 | 13 | Central America | 1 |
| 3.00 to 3.99 | 5 | East Asia | 2 |
| 4.00 | 9 | IndoChina | 28 |
| Unknown | Mexico | 7 |  |
|  |  | South America | 2 |
| Time spent in the United | States: | Southeast Asia | 3 |
| 1 to 11 months | 21 | Southern Asia | 22 |
| 12 to 23 months | 15 | Southwest Asia | 24 |
| 24 to 35 months | 27 | West Indies | 8 |
| 36 to 47 months | 13 |  | 1 |
| 28 or more months | 24 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |

Table 4. Demographic percentages on the international female group ( $\mathrm{n}=59$ )

| Variable | \% | Variable | \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age: |  | Community size of origin: |  |
| 18 years | 2 | less than 1,000 | 7 |
| 19 years | 5 | 1,000 to 4,999 | 3 |
| 20 years | 5 | 5,000 to 9,999 | 5 |
| 21 years | 7 | 10,000 to 49,000 | 9 |
| 22 years | 3 | 50,000 to 99,999 | 7 |
| 23 or more years | 78 | 100,000 to 299,999 | 7 |
|  |  | 300,000 or more | 45 |
|  |  | Varied in life | 17 |
| Marital status: |  | Attendance at I.S.U.: |  |
| Single | 58 | 1 to 11 months | 36 |
| Married | 42 | 12 to 23 months | 23 |
| Separated | - | 24 to 35 months | 26 |
| Divorced | -- | 36 to 47 months | 9 |
| Widowed | -- | 48 or more months | 6 |
| Year in college: |  | College in major: |  |
| Freshman | 5 | Agriculture | 18 |
| Sophomore | 12 | Business administration | 14 |
| Junior | 9 | Design | 9 |
| Senior | 11 | Education | -- |
| Graduate | 58 | Engineering | 7 |
| Undeclared | 3 | Home Economics | 13 |
|  |  | Science and humanities | 39 |
|  |  | Veterinary medicine | -- |
| Residing in I.S.U. housing: |  | Shared living quarters: |  |
| I.S.U. housing | 56 | None | 14 |
| Non I.S.U. housing | 44 | One person | 47 |
|  |  | Two or more persons | 39 |
| Highest level of education completed by the main income earner: |  | Time spent pursuing leisure activities per week: |  |
| Unknown | 5 | 1 to 9 hours | 60 |
| Elementary K-8 | 3 | 10 to 19 hours | 26 |
| Secondary 9-12 | 12 | 20 to 29 hours | 10 |
| Vocational 1-4 | 7 | 30 to 39 hours | 4 |
| College/university | 73 | 40 to 49 hours | -- |
|  |  | 50 or more hours | -- |

Table 4. (continued)

| Variable | $\%$ | Variable | $\%$ |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | ---: |
| Present G.P.A.: |  | Nationality: |  |
| .00 to .99 | - | Africa | 8 |
| 1.00 to 1.99 | - | British Isles | -- |
| 2.00 to 2.99 | 9 | Central America | - |
| 3.00 to 3.99 | 89 | East Asia | 31 |
| 4.00 | 2 | IndoChina | 12 |
| Unknown | - | Mexico | 2 |
|  |  | South America | 2 |
| Time spent in the United | States: | Southeast Asia | 20 |
| 1 to 11 months | 25 | Southern Asia | 21 |
| 12 to 23 months | 21 | Southwest Asia | 4 |
| 24 to 35 months | 29 | West Indies | $-\infty$ |
| 36 to 47 months | 8 |  |  |
| 48 or more months | 17 |  |  |

Table 5. American and international students actual happiness Eigenvalues of initial extracted factors

| American students actual happiness - Eigenvalues of initial extracted |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| factors |  |
| (Eigenvalues are rounded to the nearest hundredth) |  |
| Factor |  |
| Factor 1 | Eigenvalue |
| Factor 2 | 8.52 |
| Factor 3 | 2.70 |
| Factor 4 | 1.97 |
| Factor 5 | 1.70 |
| Factor 6 | 1.44 |
| Factor 7 | 1.37 |

International students actual happiness - Eigenvalues of initial extracted factors
(Eigenvalues are rounded to the nearest hundredth)

| Factor | Eigenvalue |
| :--- | :---: |
| Factor 1 | 8.03 |
| Factor 2 | 2.89 |
| Factor 3 | 2.03 |
| Factor 4 | 1.79 |
| Factor 5 | 1.45 |
| Factor 6 | 1.22 |
| Factor 7 | 1.19 |

Table 6. American students actual factor matrix: Varimax (orthogonal) rotation
(Factor loadings are rounded to the nearest hundredth)

| Question Number | Factor 1 | Factor 2 | Factor 3 | Factor 4 | h2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | . 55 | . 11 | . 07 | -. 01 | . 32 |
| 2 | . 09 | . 75 | . 13 | . 05 | . 59 |
| 3 | . 24 | . 73 | . 09 | . 06 | . 60 |
| 4 | -. 09 | . 09 | . 00 | . 77 | . 61 |
| 5 | . 11 | . 06 | . 14 | . 67 | . 48 |
| 6 | . 13 | . 07 | . 09 | . 38 | . 17 |
| 7 | . 22 | . 03 | . 11 | . 25 | . 12 |
| 8 | . 15 | . 07 | . 45 | . 46 | . 44 |
| 9 | . 37 | . 33 | . 23 | . 19 | . 33 |
| 10 | . 12 | . 84 | . 15 | . 12 | . 76 |
| 11 | -. 05 | . 12 | . 05 | . 78 | . 63 |
| 12 | . 37 | . 17 | . 26 | . 19 | . 27 |
| 13 | . 15 | . 07 | . 45 | . 45 | . 43 |
| 14 | . 05 | . 21 | . 65 | . 09 | . 48 |
| 15 | . 23 | . 38 | . 32 | . 16 | . 33 |
| 16 | . 69 | . 19 | . 10 | . 05 | . 52 |
| 17 | . 40 | . 31 | . 47 | . 15 | . 50 |
| 18 | . 66 | . 27 | . 24 | . 17 | . 60 |
| 19 | . 31 | . 27 | . 42 | . 11 | . 36 |
| 20 | . 18 | . 16 | . 51 | . 04 | . 32 |
| 21 | . 05 | . 11 | . 33 | . 51 | . 38 |
| 22 | . 16 | . 62 | . 20 | . 11 | . 46 |
| 23 | . 13 | . 06 | . 77 | . 20 | . 65 |
| 24 | . 79 | . 15 | . 23 | -. 00 | . 70 |
| 25 | . 28 | . 32 | . 43 | . 06 | . 37 |
| 26 | . 74 | . 15 | . 16 | . 19 | . 63 |
| 27 | . 24 | . 34 | . 08 | . 14 | . 20 |
| 28 | . 32 | . 10 | . 36 | . 19 | . 28 |
| 29 | . 23 | . 17 | . 01 | . 28 | . 16 |
| 30 | . 21 | . 34 | . 22 | . 09 | . 22 |
| Eigenvalue | 8.52 | 2.70 | 1.97 | 1.70 | 14.89 |
| Percent <br> Variance | 3.60 | 3.30 | 3.04 | 2.97 | -- |
| Cumulative <br> Percent Variance | 3.60 | 6.90 | 9.94 | 12.91 | -- |

Table 7. International students actual factor matrix: Varimax (orthogonal) rotation
(Factor loadings are rounded to the nearest hundredth)

| Question Number | Factor 1 | Factor 2 | Factor 3 | Factor 4 | h2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | . 44 | . 44 | . 19 | -. 06 | . 43 |
| 2 | . 09 | . 53 | . 13 | -. 01 | . 31 |
| 3 | . 03 | . 64 | . 20 | -. 03 | . 45 |
| 4 | -. 07 | . 09 | . 06 | . 70 | . 51 |
| 5 | . 26 | -. 09 | . 19 | . 45 | . 31 |
| 6 | . 28 | . 18 | -. 01 | . 28 | . 19 |
| 7 | . 56 | -. 16 | . 16 | . 09 | . 37 |
| 8 | . 43 | -. 12 | . 49 | . 46 | . 65 |
| 9 | . 50 | . 31 | . 24 | . 00 | . 40 |
| 10 | . 13 | . 59 | . 24 | . 09 | . 43 |
| 11 | -. 03 | . 22 | . 14 | . 61 | . 44 |
| 12 | . 34 | . 10 | . 15 | . 11 | . 16 |
| 13 | . 27 | -. 09 | . 42 | . 52 | . 53 |
| 14 | . 30 | . 20 | . 59 | . 03 | . 48 |
| 15 | . 13 | . 29 | . 57 | . 07 | . 43 |
| 16 | . 65 | . 35 | -. 02 | . 09 | . 55 |
| 17 | . 52 | . 15 | . 30 | -. 01 | . 38 |
| 18 | . 65 | . 29 | . 20 | . 01 | . 55 |
| 19 | . 06 | . 27 | . 56 | . 01 | . 39 |
| 20 | . 08 | -. 05 | . 37 | . 18 | . 18 |
| 21 | . 23 | . 09 | . 23 | . 56 | . 43 |
| 22 | . 27 | . 54 | . 01 | . 17 | . 39 |
| 23 | . 14 | . 05 | . 61 | . 34 | . 51 |
| 24 | . 65 | . 50 | . 11 | -. 01 | . 68 |
| 25 | . 46 | . 31 | . 14 | . 18 | . 36 |
| 26 | . 59 | . 40 | -. 03 | . 12 | . 52 |
| 27 | . 19 | . 56 | -. 15 | . 24 | . 43 |
| 28 | . 58 | -. 09 | . 27 | . 19 | . 45 |
| 29 | . 50 | . 08 | . 09 | . 25 | . 33 |
| 30 | . 19 | . 09 | . 43 | . 24 | . 29 |
| Eigenvalue | 8.03 | 2.89 | 2.03 | 1.79 | 14.74 |
| Percent Variance | 4.31 | 3.05 | 2.71 | 2.42 | - |
| Cumulative |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent Variance | 4.31 | 7.36 | 10.07 | 12.49 | -- |

Table 8. American students' actual happiness - Items retained in each factor ( $\mathrm{N}=323$.)

| Question Number | Factor <br> Loading |
| :---: | :---: |
| Factor 1: Goal Striving |  |
| 24. Thinking about current academic and/or professional goals. | . 79 |
| 26. Making time to think about current academic and/or professional goals. | . 74 |
| 16. Spending time thinking about future goals in life. | . 69 |
| 18. Developing plans to achieve my goals. | . 66 |
| 1. Thinking about goals that I would like to achieve. | . 55 |
| Reliability coefficient (Spearman Brown) $\underline{\underline{r}}=.82$ |  |
| Factor 2: Physical Appearance |  |
| 10. Feeling satisfied with my physical appearance. | . 84 |
| 2. Feeling satisfied with my body shape and size. | . 75 |
| 3. Being sexually appealing to others. | . 73 |
| 22. Being concerned about my physical appearance. | . 62 |
| Reliability coefficient (Spearman Brown) 4 = . 82 |  |
| Factor 3: Social Relationships |  |
| 23. Being a good friend to others. | . 77 |
| 14. Spending time with one or more close friends | . 65 |
| 20. Having a good relationship with one or more parent. | . 51 |
| Reliability coefficient (Spearman Brown) $\underline{x}=.68$ |  |
| Factor 4: Assisting Others |  |
| 11. Spending time with children. | . 78 |
| 4. Caring for children. | . 77 |
| 5. Teaching others new skills. | . 67 |
| Reliability coefficient (Spearman Brown) $\underline{\underline{r}}=.79$ |  |



| American students ideal happiness - Eigenvalues of initial extracted factors <br> (Eigenvalues are rounded to the nearest hundredth) |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Factor | Eigenvalue |
| Factor 1 | 8.95 |
| Factor 2 | 2.92 |
| Factor 3 | 1.75 |
| Factor 4 | 1.66 |
| Factor 5 | 1.21 |
| Factor 6 | 1.16 |
| Factor 7 | 1.13 |
| International students ideal happiness - Eigenvalues of initial extracted factors <br> (Eigenvalues are rounded to the nearest hundredth) |  |
| Factor | Eigenvalue |
| Factor 1 | 8.38 |
| Factor 2 | 2.59 |
| Factor 3 | 1.77 |
| Factor 4 | 1.59 |
| Factor 5 | 1.37 |
| Factor 6 | 1.25 |
| Factor 7 | 1.10 |

Table 11. American students ideal happiness factor matrix: Varimax (orthogonal) rotation
(Factor loadings are rounded to the nearest hundredth)

| Question Number | Factor 1 | Factor 2 | Factor 3 | Factor 4 | h2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | . 34 | . 23 | . 42 | -. 07 | . 35 |
| 2 | . 66 | . 21 | . 06 | . 02 | . 48 |
| 3 | . 71 | . 09 | . 06 | -. 00 | . 52 |
| 4 | . 16 | . 20 | . 11 | . 70 | . 57 |
| 5 | . 14 | . 27 | . 29 | . 42 | . 35 |
| 6 | -. 04 | -. 00 | . 51 | . 36 | . 39 |
| 7 | -. 13 | . 11 | . 55 | . 23 | . 38 |
| 8 | . 04 | . 52 | . 30 | . 39 | . 51 |
| 9 | . 36 | . 22 | . 42 | . 08 | . 36 |
| 10 | . 76 | . 22 | . 05 | . 03 | . 63 |
| 11 | . 08 | . 16 | . 06 | . 77 | . 63 |
| 12 | . 27 | . 19 | . 37 | . 11 | . 26 |
| 13 | -. 01 | . 50 | . 28 | . 28 | . 41 |
| 14 | . 16 | . 61 | . 15 | . 09 | . 43 |
| 15 | . 17 | . 54 | . 17 | . 08 | . 36 |
| 16 | . 45 | . 41 | . 43 | -. 11 | . 57 |
| 17 | . 35 | . 35 | . 31 | . 10 | . 35 |
| 18 | . 38 | . 39 | . 47 | -. 09 | . 53 |
| 19 | . 52 | . 26 | . 11 | . 12 | . 36 |
| 20 | . 14 | . 51 | . 06 | . 19 | . 32 |
| 21 | . 02 | . 33 | . 32 | . 47 | . 43 |
| 22 | . 63 | . 15 | . 03 | . 11 | . 43 |
| 23 | . 20 | . 72 | . 13 | . 21 | . 62 |
| 24 | . 49 | . 33 | . 45 | -. 03 | . 55 |
| 25 | . 37 | . 40 | . 25 | . 11 | . 37 |
| 26 | . 43 | . 27 | . 61 | -. 04 | . 63 |
| 27 | . 60 | -. 12 | . 05 | . 12 | . 39 |
| 28 | . 10 | . 40 | . 45 | . 11 | . 38 |
| 29 | . 04 | . 03 | . 53 | . 22 | . 33 |
| 30 | . 12 | . 46 | . 03 | . 06 | . 23 |
| Eigenvalue | 8.95 | 2.92 | 1.75 | 1.66 | 15.28 |
| Percent Variance | 4.12 | 3.72 | 3.15 | 2.15 | -- |
| Cumulative <br> Percent <br> Variance |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 4.12 | 7.84 | 10.99 | 13.14 | -- |

Table 12. International students ideal happiness factor matrix: Varimax (orthogonal) rotation
(Factor loadings are rounded to the nearest hundredth)

| Question <br> Number | Factor 1 | Factor 2 | Factor 3 | Factor 4 | h2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | . 02 | . 30 | . 06 | . 43 | . 1849 |
| 2 | . 14 | . 04 | . 01 | . 73 | . 55 |
| 3 | . 12 | . 04 | -. 03 | . 64 | . 43 |
| 4 | . 05 | . 06 | . 83 | . 09 | . 70 |
| 5 | . 24 | . 12 | . 50 | . 03 | . 32 |
| 6 | . 05 | . 27 | . 36 | . 07 | . 21 |
| 7 | . 23 | . 41 | . 38 | -. 04 | . 37 |
| 8 | . 53 | . 21 | . 46 | . 04 | . 54 |
| 9 | . 16 | . 36 | . 18 | . 40 | . 35 |
| 10 | . 37 | . 02 | . 10 | . 62 | . 53 |
| 11 | . 11 | . 10 | . 70 | . 13 | . 53 |
| 12 | . 27 | . 26 | . 13 | . 20 | . 20 |
| 13 | . 52 | . 15 | . 48 | . 05 | . 72 |
| 14 | . 51 | . 13 | . 07 | . 10 | . 29 |
| 15 | . 46 | . 28 | . 05 | . 13 | . 31 |
| 16 | . 10 | . 58 | . 11 | . 42 | . 53 |
| 17 | . 55 | . 29 | . 01 | . 20 | . 53 |
| 18 | . 33 | . 51 | . 13 | . 19 | . 42 |
| 19. | . 55 | -. 02 | . 04 | . 23 | . 36 |
| 20 | . 47 | . 13 | . 29 | . 04 | . 32 |
| 21 | . 44 | . 25 | . 43 | . 14 | . 46 |
| 22 | . 28 | . 18 | . 10 | . 33 | . 23 |
| 23 | . 60 | . 06 | . 31 | . 10 | . 47 |
| 24 | . 13 | . 64 | . 09 | . 32 | . 54 |
| 25 | . 37 | . 24 | . 15 | . 29 | . 30 |
| 26 | . 28 | . 65 | . 11 | . 23 | . 57 |
| 27 | . 03 | . 25 | . 12 | . 52 | . 35 |
| 28 | . 38 | . 42 | . 31 | -. 10 | . 43 |
| 29 | . 16 | . 44 | . 20 | . 00 | . 26 |
| 30 | . 44 | . 27 | . 07 | . 12 | . 28 |
| Eigenvalue | 8.38 | 2.59 | 1.77 | 1.59 | 14.33 |
| Percent <br> Variance | 3.60 | 2.96 | 2.82 | 2.74 | -- |
| Cumulative <br> Percent <br> Variance |  | 6.56 | 9.38 |  | -- |
| Variance | 3.60 | 6.56 | 9.38 | 12.12 | -- |

Table 13. American students ideal happiness - Items retained in each factor ( $n=323$ )
Question Factor
Number Loading
Factor 1: Physical Attractivness
10. Feeling satisfied with my physical appearance. ..... 76
3. Being sexually appealing to others. ..... 71
2. Feeling satisfied with my body shape and size. ..... 66
22. Being concerned about my physical appearance. ..... 63
27. Evaluating others' opinions about my attractiveness. ..... 60
Reliability coefficient (Spearman Brown) $\underline{\underline{r}}=.80$
Factor 2: Social Relationships
23. Being a good friend to others. ..... 73
14. Spending time with one or more close friends. ..... 61
15. Investing effort into my personal relationships. ..... 54
20. Having a good relationship with one or more parent. ..... 51
13. Assisting others to meet their needs. ..... 50
Reliability coefficient (Spearman Brown) $\underline{r}=.71$
Factor 3: Goal Striving
26. Making time to think about current academic and/or professional goals. ..... 61
7. Obtaining knowledge by reading. ..... 55
29. Writing, related to academic and/or other areas. ..... 53
Reliability coefficient (Spearman Brown) $\underline{\underline{x}}=.58$
Factor 4: Assisting Others
11. Spending time with children. ..... 77
4. Caring for children. ..... 70
Reliability coefficient (Spearman Brown) $\underline{r}=.70$

Table 14. International students ideal happiness - Items retained in each factor ( $n=207$ )

| Question Number | Factor <br> Loading |
| :---: | :---: |
| Factor 1: Social Relationships |  |
| 23. Being a good friend to others. | . 60 |
| 19. Feeling accepted by others. | . 55 |
| 17. Solving personal problems. | . 55 |
| 14. Spending time with one or more close friends. | . 51 |
| Reliability coefficient (Spearman Brown) $\underline{\underline{x}=.64}$ |  |
| Factor 2: Goal Striving |  |
| 26. Making time to think about current academic and/or professional goals. | . 65 |
| 24. Thinking about current academic and/or professional goals. | . .64 |
| 16. Spending time thinking about future goals in life. | . 58 |
| Reliability coefficient (Spearman Brown) $\underline{r}=.66$ |  |
| Factor 3: Assisting Others |  |
| 4. Caring for children. | . 83 |
| 11. Spending time with children. | . 70 |
| 5. Teaching others new skills. | . 50 |
| Reliability coefficient (Spearman Brown) $\underline{\underline{c}}=.72$ |  |
| Factor 4: Physical Attractiveness |  |
| 2. Feeling satisfied with my body shape and size. | . 73 |
| 3. Being sexually appealing to others. | . 64 |
| 10. Feeling satisfied with my physical appearance. | . 62 |
| 27. Evaluating others' opinions about my attractiveness. | . 52 |
| Reliability coefficient (Spearman Brown) $\underline{\underline{x}}=.72$ |  |

Table 15. American and international mean scale score group comparisons - Actual items

| Item | American$n=323$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { International } \\ & -n=207 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\underline{P}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\overline{\mathrm{x}}$ | SD | $\overline{\mathbf{x}}$ | SD |  |
| 29 | 45.18 | 27.91 | 55.77 | 25.38 | . 0001 |
| 25 | 78.48 | 19.73 | 72.57 | 21.49 | . 001 |
| 23 | 79.92 | 16.85 | 73.84 | 18.56 | . 0001 |
| 22 | 63.54 | 25.24 | 51.42 | 27.00 | . 0001 |
| 14 | 77.50 | 20.33 | 67.33 | 23.23 | . 0001 |
| 12 | 59.75 | 23.63 | 68.22 | 22.60 | . 0001 |
| 7 | 55.82 | 25.51 | 71.17 | 20.46 | . 0001 |
| 3 | 60.79 | 23.32 | 52.44 | 25.19 | . 0001 |

Table 16. Male and female mean scale score group comparisons - Actual
items

|  | Males <br> $\mathrm{n}=264$ |  | Females <br> $\mathrm{n}=263$ |  | SD |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Item | $\overline{\mathrm{x}}^{2}$ | SD | SD | $\underline{\mathrm{P}}$ |  |
| 23 | 74.51 | 17.94 | 80.46 | 17.12 | .0001 |
| 15 | 63.65 | 24.53 | 70.65 | 24.38 | .001 |
| 14 | 69.35 | 22.50 | 77.60 | 20.89 | .0001 |

Table 17. American and international males' mean scale score group comparisons - Actual items

|  | American males |  |  |  | International males |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Item | $\overline{\mathrm{X}}=119$ | SD | $\overline{\mathrm{X}} \quad \mathrm{n}=147$ | SD | $\underline{\mathrm{P}}$ |  |
| 22 | 62.66 | 24.68 | 51.90 | 26.18 | .0001 |  |
| 12 | 57.74 | 24.15 | 68.41 | 22.16 | .0002 |  |
| 7 | 55.96 | 24.79 | 71.43 | 20.81 | .0001 |  |

Table 18. American and international females' mean scale score group comparisons - Actual items

| Item | American females$\bar{n}=204$ |  | International females$\_\mathbf{n}=59$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\overline{\mathrm{X}}$ | SD | $\bar{X}$ | SD | P |
| 7 | 55.67 | 26.01 | 70.05 | 19.57 | . 0001 |

Table 19. American males' and females' mean scale score comparisons Actual items

| Item | $\begin{aligned} & \text { American males } \\ & -\quad \mathrm{n}=117 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { American females } \\ -\quad \mathrm{n}=204 \end{gathered}$ |  | P |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\overline{\mathrm{X}}$ | SD | $\mathbf{X}$ | SD |  |
| 23 | 76.52 | 18.34 | 81.81 | 15.69 | . 0007 |

Table 20. American and international mean scale score group comparisons - Ideal items

| Item | American$n=323$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { International } \\ & n=207 \end{aligned}$ |  | P |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\overline{\mathrm{X}}$ | SD | $\overline{\mathbf{X}}$ | SD |  |
| 29 | 57.54 | 26.34 | 72.06 | 22.96 | . 0001 |
| 23 | 89.30 | 10.84 | 84.00 | 15.14 | . 0001 |
| 15 | 86.93 | 14.18 | 79.40 | 19.46 | . 0001 |
| 14 | 87.38 | 82.57 | 14.22 | 16.19 | . 0004 |
| 7 | 72.50 | 22.73 | 86.69 | 13.23 | . 0001 |
| 6 | 45.26 | 29.37 | 55.80 | 28.05 | . 0001 |
| 3 | 68.88 | 23.67 | 72.54 | 25.23 | . 0008 |

Table 21. Male and female mean scale score group comparisons Ideal items

| Item | Males$n=264$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Females } \\ & \mathrm{n}-263 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\underline{P}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\overline{\mathrm{X}}$ | SD | $\overline{\mathbf{X}}$ | SD |  |
| 23 | 83.76 | 14.27 | 90.60 | 10.40 | . 0001 |
| 20 | 85.52 | 17.81 | 92.56 | 11.75 | . 0001 |
| 15 | 80.33 | 18.80 | 87.55 | 13.72 | . 0001 |
| 14 | 82.86 | 16.10 | 88.14 | 13.77 | . 0001 |
| 13 | 74.34 | 18.26 | 80.48 | 16.04 | . 0001 |
| 8 | 82.41 | 16.73 | 88.21 | 12.29 | . 0001 |

Table 22. American and international males' means scale score group comparisons - Ideal items

| Item | American males$\ldots n=119$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { International males } \\ & =n=147 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\overline{\mathrm{X}}$ | SD | $\overline{\mathbf{x}}$ | SD | P |
| 29 | 53.27 | 28.15 | 70.59 | 23.79 | . 0001 |
| 21 | 64.07 | 24.11 | 73.51 | 20.25 | . 0007 |
| 11 | 61.32 | 24.20 | 73.18 | 24.23 | . 0001 |
| 7 | 70.11 | 24.06 | 86.02 | 13.06 | . 0001 |
| 6 | 37.20 | 26.99 | 52.92 | 28.75 | . 0001 |

Table 23. American and international females' mean scale score group comparisons - Ideal items

| Item | American females$\ldots \quad n=204$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { International females } \\ & \qquad \bar{n}=59 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\overline{\mathrm{x}}$ | SD | $\overline{\mathrm{x}}$ | SD | $\underline{p}$ |
| 29 | 59.89 | 25.01 | 75.15 | 20.56 | . 0001 |
| 7 | 73.78 | 21.90 | 88.19 | 13.66 | . 0001 |

Table 24. American males' and females' mean scale score comparisons Ideal items

| Item | American males$\mathrm{n}=117$ |  | American females$\bar{n}=204$ |  | $\underline{P}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\overline{\mathrm{X}}$ | SD | $\overline{\mathrm{x}}$ | SD |  |
| 28 | 85.39 | 11.63 | 91.50 | 9.72 | . 0001 |
| 21 | 64.07 | 24.11 | 74.92 | 20.15 | . 0001 |
| 20 | 85.62 | 18.21 | 93.01 | 11.46 | . 0001 |
| 17 | 70.25 | 21.66 | 78.18 | 18.32 | . 0006 |
| 16 | 73.28 | 21.32 | 80.82 | 16.33 | . 0004 |
| 15 | 83.30 | 15.54 | 88.97 | 12.94 | . 0005 |
| 13 | 71.47 | 18.47 | 80.62 | 16.01 | . 0001 |
| 11 | 61.32 | 24.20 | 72.29 | 25.92 | . 0002 |
| 8 | 80.89 | 17.29 | 88.05 | 12.42 | . 0001 |
| 6 | 37.20 | 26.99 | 49.81 | 29.80 | . 0002 |

Table 25. American t-tests - Actual and ideal items ( $\mathrm{n}=323$ )

| Question Number | Actual |  | Ideal |  | $\underline{P}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\overline{\mathbf{X}}$ | SD | $\bar{X}$ | SD |  |
| 1. | 68.02 | 17.87 | 80.24 | 18.11 | . 0001 |
| 2 | 61.74 | 27.01 | 76.94 | 22.32 | . 0001 |
| 3 | 60.79 | 23.32 | 68.88 | 23.67 | . 0001 |
| 4 | 54.03 | 34.00 | 73.90 | 25.95 | . 0001 |
| 5 | 59.02 | 26.17 | 74.80 | 20.88 | . 0001 |
| 6 | 31.26 | 28.91 | 45.26 | 29.37 | . 0001 |
| 7 | 55.82 | 25.51 | 72.50 | 22.73 | . 0001 |
| 8 | 70.91 | 19.63 | 85.49 | 14.77 | . 0001 |
| 9 | 66.51 | 22.60 | 77.49 | 20.12 | . 0001 |
| 10 | 63.31 | 24.71 | 78.49 | 19.62 | . 0001 |
| 11 | 45.40 | 31.15 | 68.38 | 25.82 | . 0001 |
| 12 | 59.75 | 23.63 | 74.30 | 20.05 | . 0001 |
| 13 | 63.89 | 20.99 | 77.35 | 17.50 | . 0001 |
| 14 | 77.50 | 20.33 | 87.38 | 14.22 | . 0001 |
| 15 | 69.95 | 25.04 | 86.93 | 14.18 | . 0001 |
| 16 | 67.63 | 20.53 | 78.07 | 18.61 | . 0001 |
| 17 | 63.03 | 20.57 | 75.17 | 20.06 | . 0001 |
| 18 | 65.00 | 20.86 | 77.93 | 17.44 | . 0001 |
| 19 | 70.98 | 20.64 | 76.59 | 20.04 | . 0001 |
| 20 | 82.02 | 22.15 | 90.34 | 14.69 | . 0001 |
| 21 | 52.48 | 27.69 | 71.02 | 22.25 | . 0001 |
| 22 | 63.54 | 25.24 | 68.94 | 25.65 | . 0006 |
| 23 | 79.92 | 16.85 | 89.30 | 10.84 | . 0001 |
| 24 | 67.24 | 22.10 | 79.56 | 17.45 | . 0001 |
| 25 | 78.48 | 19.73 | 90.09 | 12.18 | . 0001 |
| 26 | 61.79 | 23.64 | 73.68 | 20.38 | . 0001 |
| 27 | 53.36 | 25.89 | 54.11 | 27.04 | . 5786 |
| 28 | 75.21 | 16.44 | 84.19 | 14.62 | . 0001 |
| 29 | 45.18 | 27.91 | 57.54 | 26.34 | . 0001 |
| 30 | 69.72 | 32.21 | 90.94 | 13.29 | . 0001 |

Table 26. International t-tests - Actual and ideal items ( $\mathrm{n}=207$ )

| Question Number | Actual |  | Ideal |  | P |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\bar{X}$ | SD | $\overline{\mathbf{X}}$ | SD |  |
| 1 | 68.74 | 21.74 | 82.30 | 20.57 | . 0001 |
| 2 | 61.24 | 25.67 | 72.54 | 25.23 | . 0001 |
| 3 | 52.44 | 25.19 | 61.28 | 27.32 | . 0001 |
| 4 | 57.17 | 32.48 | 77.31 | 24.53 | . 0001 |
| 5 | 61.49 | 26.57 | 77.17 | 22.70 | . 0001 |
| 6 | 36.83 | 27.02 | 55.80 | 28.05 | . 0001 |
| 7 | 71.17 | 20.47 | 86.69 | 13.23 | . 0001 |
| 8 | 71.89 | 20.07 | 85.20 | 15.25 | . 0001 |
| 9 | 63.93 | 23.00 | 79.28 | 21.49 | . 0001 |
| 10 | 63.88 | . 24.11 | 75.87 | 21.35 | . 0001 |
| 11 | 44.08 | 33.44 | 74.19 | 23.49 | . 0001 |
| 12 | 68.22 | 22.60 | 79.06 | 20.49 | . 0001 |
| 13 | 64.93 | 20.17 | 77.50 | 17.48 | . 0001 |
| 14 | 67.33 | 23.29 | 82.57 | 16.19 | . 0001 |
| 15 | 63.00 | 23.60 | 79.40 | 19.46 | . 0001 |
| 16 | 63.83 | 24.95 | 75.82 | 23.30 | . 0001 |
| 17 | 62.77 | 23.23 | 77.20 | 19.95 | . 0001 |
| 18 | 67.50 | 21.27 | 82.09 | 17.80 | . 0001 |
| 19 | 69.59 | 20.45 | 79.95 | 18.84 | . 0001 |
| 20 | 80.26 | 21.66 | 87.11 | 16.43 | . 0001 |
| 21 | 58.16 | 26.48 | 74.06 | 20.01 | . 0001 |
| 22 | 54.42 | 27.00 | 61.91 | 26.80 | . 0001 |
| 23 | 73.84 | 18.56 | 84.00 | 15.14 | . 0001 |
| 24 | 69.30 | 23.30 | 81.67 | 18.20 | . 0001 |
| 25 | 72.57 | 21.49 | 86.26 | 15.30 | . 0001 |
| 26 | 64.56 | 23.28 | 76.50 | 20.58 | . 0001 |
| 27 | 47.04 | 26.52 | 52.66 | 28.35 | . 0001 |
| 28 | 74.40 | 18.34 | 87.33 | 12.29 | . 0001 |
| 29 | 55.77 | 25.38 | 72.06 | 22.96 | . 0001 |
| 30 | 72.16 | 28.69 | 89.27 | 14.11 | . 0001 |

Table 27. American males t-tests - Actual and ideal items ( $\mathrm{n}=119$ )

| Question Number | Actual |  | Ideal |  | P |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\overline{\mathbf{X}}$ | SD | $\overline{\mathbf{X}}$ | SD |  |
| 1 | 67.37 | 18.01 | 77.08 | 21.87 | . 0001 |
| 2 | 60.05 | 28.38 | 76.51 | 22.42 | . 0001 |
| 3 | 60.90 | 22.05 | 70.76 | 24.57 | . 0003 |
| 4 | 55.98 | 34.51 | 69.60 | 25.44 | . 0001 |
| 5 | 58.39 | 26.98 | 74.08 | 20.06 | . 0001 |
| 6 | 34.82 | 29.81 | 37.20 | 26.99 | . 0001 |
| 7 | 55.67 | 26.01 | 70.12 | 24.06 | . 0001 |
| 8 | 73.36 | 19.19 | 80.89 | 17.29 | . 0001 |
| 9 | 66.67 | 21.33 | 73.25 | 23.40 | . 0004 |
| 10 | 62.38 | 26.17 | 77.93 | 19.05 | . 0001 |
| 11 | 49.12 | 31.92 | 61.32 | 24.20 | . 0001 |
| 12 | 60.86 | 23.35 | 72.10 | 19.91 | . 0001 |
| 13 | 65.78 | 21.01 | 71.47 | 18.47 | . 0001 |
| 1.4 | 79.67 | 19.35 | 84.64 | 14.51 | . 0001 |
| 15 | 72.32 | 24.57 | 83.30 | 15.54 | . 0001 |
| 16 | 68.34 | 20.64 | 73.28 | 21.32 | . 0001 |
| 17 | 64.24 | 20.67 | 70.25 | 21.66 | . 0001 |
| 18 | 64.94 | 21.42 | 75.06 | 19.57 | . 0001 |
| 19 | 71.96 | 20.19 | 75.40 | 20.50 | . 0036 |
| 20 | 84.30 | 20.98 | 85.62 | 18.21 | . 0001 |
| 21 | 53.67 | 27.77 | 64.07 | 24.11 | . 0001 |
| 22 | 64.21 | 25.55 | 68.31 | 26.14 | . 0121 |
| 23 | 81.81 | 15.69 | 85.39 | 11.63 | . 0001 |
| 24 | 68.18 | 22.39 | 77.11 | 18.64 | . 0001 |
| 25 | 78.37 | 19.10 | 89.27 | 12.39 | . 0001 |
| 26 | 63.58 | 23.39 | 70.71 | 21.61 | . 0001 |
| 27 | 54.51 | 25.57 | 53.29 | 26.94 | . 4636 |
| 28 | 75.67 | 16.28 | 82.36 | 15.19 | . 0001 |
| 29 | 54.46 | 27.46 | 53.27 | 28.15 | . 0001 |
| 30 | 72.29 | 31.04 | 89.17 | 13.43 | . 0001 |

Table 28. International males t-tests - Actual and Ideal items ( $\mathrm{n}=147$ )

| Question Number | Actual |  | Ideal |  | $\underline{P}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\overline{\mathrm{X}}$ | SD | $\overline{\mathrm{x}}$ | SD |  |
| 1 | 68.18 | 21.36 | 82.30 | 20.50 | . 0001 |
| 2 | 59.72 | 24.91 | 72.21 | 24.30 | . 0001 |
| 3 | 53.28 | 23.25 | 62.77 | 26.03 | . 0001 |
| 4 | 57.19 | 32.14 | 76.34 | 24.68 | . 0001 |
| 5 | 63.65 | 27.54 | 76.98 | 23.80 | . 0001 |
| 6 | 34.56 | 26.48 | 52.92 | 28.75 | . 0001 |
| 7 | 71.43 | 20.81 | 86.02 | 13.06 | . 0001 |
| 8 | 71.99 | 20.13 | 83.63 | 16.22 | . 0001 |
| 9 | 63.13 | 22.28 | 78.36 | 21.49 | . 0001 |
| 10 | 61.37 | 24.47 | 74.08 | 21.33 | . 0001 |
| 11 | 42.22 | 34.07 | 73.18 | 24.23 | . 0001 |
| 12 | 68.41 | 22.16 | 79.01 | 19.55 | . 0001 |
| 13 | 64.34 | 19.82 | 76.67 | 17.82 | . 0001 |
| 14 | 65.88 | 22.67 | 81.44 | 17.19 | . 0001 |
| 15 | 61.98 | 23.75 | 77.94 | 20.82 | . 0001 |
| 16 | 64.18 | 25.15 | 72.02 | 24.42 | . 0001 |
| 17 | 62.92 | 23.82 | 76.77 | 20.81 | . 0001 |
| 18 | 67.08 | 22.59 | 82.51 | 18.28 | . 0001 |
| 19 | 68.42 | 20.26 | 79.62 | 18.65 | . 0001 |
| 20 | 78.54 | 22.41 | 85.44 | 17.55 | . 0001 |
| 21 | 57.79 | 26.88 | 73.51 | 20.25 | . 0001 |
| 22 | 51.90 | 26.18 | 59.51 | 26.18 | . 0003 |
| 23 | 72.89 | 17.50 | 82.48 | 16.05 | . 0001 |
| 24 | 67.59 | 23.56 | 80.91 | 18.18 | . 0001 |
| 25 | 70.88 | 21.57 | 85.04 | 16.27 | . 0001 |
| 26 | 62.32 | 23.68 | 74.09 | 21.38 | . 0001 |
| 27 | 45.59 | 25.87 | 50.49 | 27.95 | . 0057 |
| 28 | 74.90 | 17.99 | 86.50 | 12.67 | . 0001 |
| 29 | 54.41 | 24.94 | 70.59 | 23.79 | . 0001 |
| 30 | 69.85 | 29.51 | 88.48 | 14.41 | . 0001 |

Table 29. American female t-tests - Actual and ideal items ( $\mathrm{n}=204$ )

| Question Number | Actual |  | Ideal |  | P |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\overline{\mathrm{X}}$ | SD | $\overline{\mathbf{X}}$ | SD |  |
| 1 | 67.37 | 18.01 | 82.08 | 15.39 | . 0001 |
| 2 | 60.05 | 28.38 | 77.42 | 22.12 | . 0001 |
| 3 | 60.90 | 22.05 | 68.14 | 22.71 | . 0001 |
| 4 | 55.98 | 34.51 | 76.31 | 26.03 | . 0001 |
| 5 | 58.39 | 26.98 | 75.10 | 21.36 | . 0001 |
| 6 | 34.82 | 29.81 | 49.81 | 29.80 | . 0001 |
| 7 | 55.67 | 26.01 | 73.78 | 21.90 | . 0001 |
| 8 | 73.36 | 19.19 | 88.05 | 12.42 | . 0001 |
| 9 | 66.67 | 21.33 | 79.92 | 17.63 | . 0001 |
| 10 | 62.38 | 26.17 | 78.94 | 19.93 | . 0001 |
| 11 | 49.12 | 31.92 | 72.39 | 25.92 | . 0001 |
| 12 | 60.86 | 23.35 | 75.68 | 20.04 | . 0001 |
| 13 | 65.77 | 21.01 | 80.62 | 16.01 | . 0001 |
| 14 | 79.67 | 19.35 | 89.00 | 13.87 | . 0001 |
| 15 | 72.32 | 24.57 | 88.97 | 12.94 | . 0001 |
| 16 | 68.34 | 20.64 | 80.82 | 16.33 | . 0001 |
| 17 | 64.24 | 20.67 | 78.18 | 18.32 | . 0001 |
| 18 | 64.94 | 21.42 | 79.56 | 15.96 | . 0001 |
| 19 | 71.96 | 20.19 | 77.35 | 19.80 | . 0005 |
| 20 | 84.30 | 20.98 | 93.01 | 11.46 | . 0001 |
| 21 | 53.67 | 27.77 | 74.92 | 20.15 | . 0001 |
| 22 | 64.21 | 25.55 | 69.59 | 25.14 | . 0112 |
| 23 | 81.81 | 15.69 | 91.50 | 9.72 | . 0001 |
| 24 | 68.18 | 22.39 | 80.95 | 16.67 | . 0001 |
| 25 | 78.37 | 19.10 | 90.62 | 12.07 | . 0001 |
| 26 | 63.58 | 23.39 | 75.34 | 19.54 | . 0001 |
| 27 | 54.51 | 25.57 | 75.34 | 19.54 | . 0001 |
| 28 | 75.67 | 16.28 | 85.21 | 14.24 | . 0001 |
| 29 | 45.46 | 27.46 | 58.87 | 25.01 | . 0001 |
| 30 | 72.29 | 31.04 | 91.92 | 13.16 | . 0001 |

Table 30. International females t-tests- Actual and ideal items ( $\mathrm{n}=59$ )

| Question Number | Actual |  | Ideal |  | $\underline{P}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\overline{\mathbf{x}}$ | SD | $\overline{\mathrm{x}}$ | SD |  |
| 1 | 69.66 | 22.64 | 82.84 | 20.66 | . 0002 |
| 2 | 65.98 | 26.18 | 73.74 | 27.62 | . 0118 |
| 3 | 49.55 | 29.05 | 56.84 | 29.95 | . 0124 |
| 4 | 56.37 | 33.40 | 79.42 | 24.29 | . 0001 |
| 5 | 57.19 | 22.44 | 78.93 | 17.38 | . 0001 |
| 6 | 41.36 | 26.96 | 62.10 | 24.98 | . 0001 |
| 7 | 70.05 | 19.57 | 88.12 | 13.66 | . 0001 |
| 8 | 71.17 | 19.95 | 88.78 | 11.92 | . 0001 |
| 9 | 66.21 | 24.91 | 81.28 | 21.57 | . 0001 |
| 10 | 69.51 | 22.08 | 79.93 | 20.97 | . 0001 |
| 11 | 47.58 | 31.30 | 76.20 | 21.62 | . 0001 |
| 12 | 68.88 | 22.34 | 79.68 | 22.62 | . 0020 |
| 13 | 66.14 | 21.20 | 80.02 | 16.28 | . 0001 |
| 14 | 70.42 | 24.29 | 85.14 | 13.10 | . 0001 |
| 15 | 64.88 | 23.01 | 82.68 | 15.25 | . 0001 |
| 16 | 63.17 | 24.78 | 77.45 | 20.29 | . 0001 |
| 17 | 61.80 | 21.59 | 77.88 | 17.76 | . 0001 |
| 18 | 68.00 | 17.50 | 80.74 | 16.64 | . 0001 |
| 19 | 71.93 | 20.67 | 80.41 | 19.45 | . 0001 |
| 20 | 84.17 | 19.36 | 90.98 | 12.68 | . 0001 |
| 21 | 60.03 | 24.74 | 75.00 | 19.45 | . 0001 |
| 22 | 61.47 | 27.32 | 68.84 | 26.38 | . 0217 |
| 23 | 75.76 | 20.82 | 87.45 | 12.09 | . 0001 |
| 24 | 73.02 | 22.24 | 83.26 | 18.32 | . 0002 |
| 25 | 76.27 | 20.88 | 88.97 | 12.37 | . 0001 |
| 26 | 69.46 | 21.37 | 81.95 | 17.40 | . 0001 |
| 27 | 51.40 | 27.39 | 58.09 | 29.09 | . 0132 |
| 28 | 73.59 | 19.19 | 89.19 | 11.18 | . 0001 |
| 29 | 58.37 | 26.03 | 75.15 | 20.56 | . 0001 |
| 30 | 77.32 | 26.09 | 91.03 | 13.39 | . 0001 |

## SUMMARY AND DISCUSSION

The purpose of this study was to develop an instrument capable of identifying sources of perceived happiness potentially related to a university population. These sources were identified by hypothesizing a priori sources of happiness, developing the AIHS instrument, then collecting and analyzing data from a total sample of 823 Iowa State University students. These data were analyzed using three methods: factor analysis, mean score comparisons, and paired t-tests. The results of these data will now be summarized in further detail. The final sections of this chapter will include a discussion, recommendations for further study, and limitations.

Factor Analysis
As a result of the factor analysis on the university student subgroups, five a priori indicators of happiness were hypothesized and became the basis for the AIHS: Social Relationships, Physical Appearance, Desire for Learning, Assisting Others, and Goal Striving, Each factor contained five items. From these five a priori factors, four consistently appeared on the American students' "actual" happiness responses: Goal Striving, Physical Appearance, Social Relationships, and Assisting Others. These factors accounted for $12.91 \%$ of the variance. The factor analysis on the international students' "actual" responses revealed the same four factors: Goal Striving, Physical Appearance, Social Relationships, and Assisting Others. These factors accounted for $12.91 \%$ of the variance. The construct validity on this
instrument was indicated on four of the five a priori hypothesized factors.

American students' "ideal" happiness responses revealed the same four factors: Goal Striving, Physical Appearance, Social Relationships, and Assisting Others. These factors accounted for $13.14 \%$ of the variance. The factor analysis on the international students' "ideal" responses also revealed the same four factors: Goal Striving, Physical Appearance, Social Relationships, and Assisting Others. These factors accounted for $12.12 \%$ of the variance. The construct validity on this instrument was indicated on four of the five a priori hypothesized factors.

## Item Contrasts

The next purpose of this study was to compare and identify possible differences among the American and international student subgroups. A series of mean score comparisons, analyzing the means of each group per item, were undertaken. The results of these comparisons will now be examined.

Actual Happiness Items
American and international groups. When the responses to the AIHS were compared between the American and international student groups on "actual" happiness items, the American group scored significantly higher on five out of eight items. Two of these items related to the Social Relationships factor and the other three related to the Physical Appearance factor. The international student group scored significantly
higher on three items related to the Desire for Learning.
Males and females. When the responses to the AIHS were compared between male and female groups, the female group scored significantly higher on three items related to the Social Relationships factor.

American and international males. When the responses to the AIHS were compared between the American and international male groups, three itmes were found to be significantly different. The American males scored significantly higher on one item related to the Physical Appear ance factor and the international male group scored significantly higher on two items related to the Desire for Learning.

American and international females. When the responses to the AIHS were compared between the American and international female groups, the international female group scored significantly higher on one item related to the Desire for Learning.

American males and females. When the responses to the AIHS were compared between the American males and females, the American female group scored significantly higher on one item related to the Social Relationships factor.

Ideal Happiness Items
American and international groups. When the responses to the AIHS were compared between the American and international student groups on "ideal" happiness items, seven items were found to be significant. The American group scored significantly higher on three items related to the Social Relationships factor. The international student group
scored significantly higher on four items; one item was related to the Physical Appearance factor and the other three items were related to the Desire for Learning.

Males and females. When the responses to the AIHS were compared between male and female student groups, the female group scored significantly higher on six items; four were related to the Social Relationships factor and the other two were related to the Assisting Others factor.

American and international males. When the responses to the AIHS were compared between the American and international males groups, five items were found to be significantly higher by the international male group; three of the items related to the Desire for Learning and two of the items related to the Assisting Others factor.

American and international females. When the responses to the AIHS were compared between the American and international females, two items were found to be significant for the international female group and were related to the Desire for Learning.

American males and females. When the responses to the AIHS were compared between the American males and females, ten items were found to be significant for the American female group, two items were related to the Social Relationships factor, three items were related to the Desire for Learning, four items were related to the third factor, Assisting Others, and the last item was related to the Goal Striving factor.

## T-Tests

In all groups, individuals" differences between their "actual" and "ideal" responses were assessed by a series of paired t-tests. The purpose of the t-tests were to determine if significant discrepancies existed between how much each item contributed to the individual's "actual" happiness verses how much the item would contribute to the Individual's "ideal" happiness. For each group, individuals' discrepancies between these two constructs were significant at the $p<.001$ level on most of the AIHS items. Of these significant items, all of the "ideal" means were found to be higher than the "actual" means which will now be examined.

American group. Out of thirty items, twenty-nine were found to be significant. One item was not significant: "27. Evaluating others' opinions about my attractivenesss".

International group. A11 30 of the items were found to have significant mean differences. Discrepancies exist between the elements individuls identify contributing to their present happiness verses what is sought for future happiness.

American males. There were 27 items found to have significant differences. Three items were not significant: "19. Feeling accepted by others", "22. Being concerned about my physical appearance", and "27. Evaluating others' opinions about my attractiveness".

International males. There were 29 items found to have significant mean differences. One item was not significant: "27. Evaluationg others' opinions about my attractiveness".

American females. There were 28 items found to have significant mean differences. Two items were related to physical appearance: "22. Being concerned about my physical appearance" and "27. Evaluating others' opinions about my attractiveness" and found to have insignificant mean differences.

International females. There were 25 items found to have significant mean differences. Five items were found to be insignificant. Four items related to physical appearance: " 2 . Feeling satisfied with my body shape and size", "3. Being sexually appealing to others", "27. Evaluating others' opinions about my attractiveness", and "22. Being concerned about my physical appearance". The fifth item, "12. Solving work or school-related problems was also insignificant".

Discussion
Research interest in the study of happiness and life satisfaction has been growing steadily since the early part of this century. It has been possible to trace the emergence of several correlates, positively associated with subjective happiness, throughout the literature. On the basis of this information, the AIHS was developed. Each factor, Physical Attractiveness, Social Relationships, Goal Striving, and Assisting Others will now be discussed in relationship to the research

1iterature. A fifth hypothesized component, Desire for Learning, will also be discussed although it did not appear in the "actual" or "ideal" factor structures but proved to be significant in the mean score comparisons and the paired t-test procedures.

Physical Attractiveness. There were six AIHS items related to this factor addressing topics such as sex appeal, body satisfaction and maintaining one's health. Subjective happiness was related to health and the ability to favorably describe oneself in early studies (Smith, 1961; Watson, 1930). The importance of maintaining good health through participation in sports and similar types of activities was also observed (Bradburn \& Caplovitz, 1965; Fellows, 1966; Washburne, 1941; Watson, 1930).

The subjective experience of happiness has also been associated with the practive of maintaining good health (Cantril, 1965; Edwards \& Klemmack, 1973; Goldings, 1954; Gurin et al., 1960; Iisager, 1948; Landis, 1942; Larson, 1978; Lawton, 1943; Markides \& Martin, 1979; Near et al., 1978; Ray, 1979; Riddick, 1980; Spreitzer \& Snyder, 1974; Toseland \& Rasch, 1979-1980; Wessman, 1957; Zeglen, 1977). Diener (1984) speculated that one of the reasons this correlate has seemingly endured is because for many individual's good health has been equated with the possibility of increased overall life satisfaction. Good health has been associated with the personal rewards it might bring to the individual as well as other factors such as longevity and the possible prevention of serious illness.

Social Relationships. There were six items related to the establishment and maintenance of social relationships on the AIHS. These items covered topics such as spending time with friends, family and loved ones, feeling accepted by others, and investing effort into maintaining social relationships. Similar to the previous factor, subjective happiness has been strongly correlated with social relationships, especially in areas such as maintaining social contact (Anderson, 1977; Campbell et a1., 1976; Edwards \& Klemmack, 1973; Falkman, 1973; Knapp, 1976; Markides \& Martin, 1979; Mitche11, 1976; 01sen, 1980; Palmore \& Luikart, 1972; Rhodes, 1980; Toseland \& Rasch, 1979-1980; VanCoevering, 1974; Zeglen, 1977), maintaining satisfying romantic relationships (Anderson, 1977; Freeman, 1978; Forrester, 1980; Gordon, 1975), and the development and maintanence of loving, affectionate relationships among high school and college students (Hart, 1945; Iisager, 1948; Scott, 1967). Arkoff (1975) suggested that single adults were happler than those who were widowed or divorced, and young women were generally more affectively expressive and happier than their male counterparts (Medley, 1980; Spreitzer \& Snyder, 1974). Marital and family satisfaction was also found to be strongly correlated with subjective happiness (Campbell et al., 1976; Freudiger, 1980; Glenn \& Weaver, 1979, 1981a; Michalos, 1980; Toseland \& Rasch, 1979-1980).

Within this category of social relationships is another type of variable, self-esteem. It was associated with successful social functioning and feelings of happiness (Anderson, 1977; Czaja, 1975;

Drumgoole, 1981; Ginandes, 1977; Higgins, 1978; Kozma \& Stones, 1978; Peterson, 1975; Pomerantz, 1978; Reid \& Ziegler, 1980; VanCoevering, 1974; Wilson, 1960).

Although success in the ability to form and maintain satisfying social relationships appear to be positively correlated with subjective happiness, it is unclear how much of this is due to positive characteristics in one's personality, due to the environmental availability of contacts, or due to some combination of both. Some researchers suggested that happier individuals were those who possessed and constantly utilized their positive personality traits, which set them apart from those who identified themselves as less happy (Arkoff, 1975; Fellows, 1966; Wessman, 1957).

Goal Striving. There were six items related to the process and attainment of future academic, professional and personal goals on the AIHS. In early studies, subjective happiness was positively associated with the successful attainment of a major goal such as finding employment, a high status job or the pursuit of a similarly meaningful activity such as education (Arkoff, 1975; Cantril, 1965; Casse1, 1954; Hutscher, 1964; Flügel, 1925; Iisager, 1948; Lawton, 1943; Watson, 1930). Wessman and Ricks (1966) found that compared to men who claimed to be less happy, happier men were able to clearly set goals, estimate time needed to complete the goals and specify commitments to reach their goals. Wilson (1967) postulated that happiness was strongly related to reaching one's goals in life, a position also supported by Chekola
(1975). The process of identifying one's needs, planning goals and successfully attaining them appears to be a common theme throughout many of these studies.

Assisting Others. There were six items on the AIHS which described helping types of behaviors such as volunteerism, teaching others skills, and assisting others (particularly children), in meeting their needs. These types of behaviors have been associated with subjective happiness particularly through societal roles such as family income earner, teacher, and parent (Cantril, 1965; Veenhoven, 1984a). Many of the subjects participating in this study were approaching or facing transition points common to young adulthood, where such themes were considered relevant to their conceptions of happiness.

Desire for Learning. There were six items on the AIHS related to the pursuit of knowledge. These included utilizing problem solving skills related to school, personal or work issues, reading and/or writing. Arkoff (1975) found that the pursuit of knowledge was strongly associated with college students' reports of subjective happiness. Some researchers found that subjective happiness and the attainment of higher education was a happier experience for women as they expected more personal and career options available to them as a result (Freudiger, 1980; Glenn \& Weaver, 1981b; Mitchell, 1976).

Since the focus of the study was to explore college student's perceptions of happiness, and few studies were actually available specifically related to this topic, the area of college student satis-
faction was explored, generally, and in the area of international student satisfaction. One finding was that high self-esteem was related to student satisfaction. Students who possessed high levels of selfesteem had established positive social patterns, had fewer emotional concerns, had more contacts with faculty members, and less difficulty choosing a major (Schmidt \& Sedlacek, 1972). They tended to respond favorably to their total academic environment (Pervin, 1967) and in outside activities such as employment (Ernst, 1966). For international students, a positive self-concept was related to successful academic performance (Kim, 1983; Mehrinfar, 1982; Sharp, 1982). Taha (1984) supported this view by suggesting that for international students, successful completion of their educational goal at an American college or university, was a major goal. Other important areas of concern included adjusting to environmental demands, maintaining contact with family and friends, economic support, and finding employment after graduation.

The above findings were supported in the results of the mean score comparisons. When American and international group means were compared on "actual" and "ideal" responses, the international male and female students means were significantly higher in areas related to the Desire for Learning. There were no significant differences when international males and females means were compared with each other, only in contrast to American students. American males and females mean differences were significantly higher in areas such as social relationships and physical attractiveness.

The individual paired t-tests showed twenty-five out of thirty of the AIHS items were significant at the $p<.001$ level for all subjects. The "ideal" happiness means were higher than the "actual" means, demonstrating this discrepancy. For the international males and females, items related to physical appearance and others' evaluating their physical appearance were not significant. For the American males and females, Items related to feeling acceptance from others and others' evaluating their physical appearance were not significant.

In conclusion, the AIHS factors were developed based on happiness sources which were positively correlated with the subjective experience of happiness, in the research literature. Several of these correlations were identified: maintaining one's health, the positive influence of social relationships, personal factors that relate to sociability such as a positive self-concept, marital and family relationships, goal striving and the identification of one's needs, volunteerism and other helping behaviors and the pursuit of knowledge. Since little research exists on students perceived sources of happiness, general and international student satisfaction was explored. Positive self-concept was attributed to student's success in their academic environments and for international students, contributed to the successful achievement of their goal, their academic degree.

Two theories were mentioned earlier in this study which were considered to have important implications for this study. In Michalos' (1986) Multiple Discrepancies Theory (MDT) net satisfaction was postu-
lated as the result of discrepancies between what one has and what one wants out of life, similar to the concept of measuring discrepancies among subjects' "actual" and "ideal" happiness. Another theory postulated by Veenhoven (1984a) was a two-component conception of happiness which suggested that overall happiness was the combined measure of components: hedonic level of affect and contentment. Sample items were created, attached to the AIHS and factor analyzed with no clear factor structures existing for each component. These two theories, however, attempt to view the nature of happiness as an individualistic process, by discrepancy or component, and deserve further exploration. . In summary, this study focused on student's perceptions of the emotional and cognitive sources they felt would contribute to their current, as well as future conceptions of happiness. Construct validity was demonstrated on the AIHS as several items appeared to cluster around four hypothesized factors when factor analyzed. When groups were contrasted as to their specific contributions to their happiness, the contributions appeared to be related to their particular needs. For North American students items related to physical appearance, social relationships, and goal striving were selected. For International students items related to the desire for learning were selected and emerged in these group mean contrasts. The use of paired t-tests on Individual's item means revealed significant differences between the actual and ideal contributions to happiness as all of the ideal means were significantly higher.

## Suggestions for Further Research

1. In the present study, the AIHS accounted for $12 \%$ of the variance among the American and international groups. The AIHS items need to be rewritten and the item pool enlarged to address this situation. The second step would be to refactor analyze the instrument, administering it to large groups and continue with further validation studies.
2. If greater variance could be established, the instrument could be normed by administering it to large numbers of American and Crossnational groups. In each group the following information could be identified: (1) individuals that consistently score higher than other groups on the numbers of indicators that contribute to their perceived "actual" and "ideal" happiness, and (2) individuals that consistently score lower on discrepancies between their perceived "actual" and "ideal" happiness indicators. These individuals would serve as a normative group representing the ability to select several happiness contributions and the awareness of experiencing more happiness than those who identify greater discrepancies.
3. Refinement of the AIHS by adding a dimension to the response scale. Qualitative differences could be measured more specifically by adding a "time" reference to the "ideal" component questions. Instead of broadly defining "ideal" happiness items, subjects could be asked to conceptualize their perceptions within a 3 month, 6 month or 12 month period. This would add substnatially to the interpretation of the "actual" verses "ideal" discrepancies per individual and by group.
4. A final step would be further analyze these data to determine whether variables such as subject's sex and ethnic background differ significantly from each other on each factor and by "actual" and "ideal" responses. A multiple analysis of variance (MANOVA) could be applied to the transformed data scores to test for these differences. Limitation of the Study

The data for this dissertation were collected from students attending Iowa State University during the 1986-1987 academic year. Generalizations drawn from the results are therefore limited to individuals within these populations. Data collected on samples at other universities, including international student groups, would allow for greater generalizability.

The instruments used in this research were based on subject's self-reports. The information collected was then limited to the extent of subject self-understanding and willingness to share this information in an honest fashion.
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## APPENDIX B .

LETTER TO INSTRUMENT EVALUATORS


#### Abstract

Dear I am a Ph.D. candidate who is working on a degree in community oriented counseling through the Department of Professional Studies ini Zducation at Iowa State University. I am interested in learning more about individual's perceptions and definitions of the construct, happiness. My dissertation research consists of conducting a validation study where I will seek university student's self perceptions of happiness. Since I am unaware of these specific self perceptions, I have developed the enclosed instrument. The individuals will be asked to identify which elements contribute to their own perceptions regarding happiness.

The sample I plan to use will consist of Iowa State University undergraduate international and American student groups. Every effort will be made to ensure the student's confidentiality of responses by use of the subject number at the top of the Happiness Scale form. Hopefully, this approach will aid in the task of identifying an overall factor structure which will contain the three components of happiness - overall happiness, hedonic level of affect, and contentment - as posed by Dr. Ruut Veenhoven in his book, Conditions of Happiness (1984). The demographic data, appearing at the beginning of the enclosed questionnaire are also related to the work of Dr. Ruut Veenhoven, as his comprehensive 1iterature review of this topic suggests that these factors might be related to establishing conditions of happiness.

My purpose in writing you is to solicit your assistance. Your name has appeared in the literature that I have been reviewing in establishing a basis for this study. I would be very appreciative if you would be willing to look at and evaluate the enclosed instrument, as much or as little as your schedule may permit. Write your comments on the instrument and return it in the stamped, self-addressed envelope. As you are well aware, dissertation research requires persistence; please don't feel offended if I follow up this letter with another contact within three to four weeks if I've not heard from you sooner. If you have any questions and wish to contact me, I can be reached at these numbers: area code (515) 294-7020 or area code (515) 292-6306. Thank you very much and I hope to hear from you soon.


Sincerely yours,

Cynthia Taylor
enclosure

APPENDIX C.
PILOT STUDY INSTRUMENT - CURRENT HAPPINESS SCALE

## PILOT STUDY INSTRUMENT - CURRENT HAPPINESS SCALE

Subject Number $\qquad$
The purpose of the Happiness Scale is to have each respondant identify, by various statements, what contributes the most or least to their personal perception of overall happiness in life. This scale is composed of two types of information: a) demographic data and b) happiness opinion statements. The demographic information is used to sort out individual and group characteristics while the happiness opinion statements will be used to provide clues as to which each item contributes to individual's perceptions of happiness in life.

All of the information contained in this instrument will be kept confidential. The subject number at the top of the page will be used for statistical coding. Do not write your name on this form.
A) Begin by answering the following questions, filling in the blank when necessary. Circle the appropriate response:

1. Gender: $\begin{aligned} & \text { (1) Male } \\ & \text { (2) Female }\end{aligned}$
2. Age:
(1) 18 years
(2) 19 years
(3) 20 years
(4) 21 years
(5) 22 years
(6) 23 or more years
3. Marital status:
(1) Single
(2) Married
(3) Separated
(4) Divorced
(5) Widowed
4. Year in College:
(1) Freshman
(2) Sophomore
(3) Junior
(4) Senior
(5) Graduate
(6) Undeclared
(7) Special Student
5. How large was the community where you spent the majority of your life?
(1) Less than 1,000
(2) 1,000-4,999
(3) 5,000-9,999
(4) $10,000-49,999$
(5) $50,000-99,999$
(6) $100,000-299,999$
(7) $300,000+$
(8) Varied throughout 1ife
6. I am: (1) an American citizen
(2) not an American citizen
7. If not an American citizen, in which country do you hold citizenship?
(1) India
(2) Indonesia
(3) Malaysia
(4) People's Republic of China
(5) Republic of China (Taiwan)
(6) United States
(7) $\qquad$ (Other)
8. How long have you been in the United States?
_Years Months
9. How long have you attended Iowa State University?

Years Months
10. In what college is your major area of study?
(1) Agriculture
(2) Business Administration
(3) Design
(4) Education
(5) Engineering
(6) Home Economics
(7) Sciences and Humanities
(8) Veterinary Medicine
11. How many others share your
living quarters?
(1) None
(2) One person
(3) Two or more people
12. Highest level of education completed by the main income earner in your family?
(1) Unknown or none
(2) Elementary school

12345678
(3) High school 9101112
(4) College/University

123456 (or more)
(5) Professional 1234
(6) Vocational 1234
13. How much time do you spend pursuing hobbies or other leisure activities.
$\qquad$ Hours per week
14. Do you live in University housing?
(1) Yes
(2) No
15. Present Grade Point Average (GPA) at Iowa State University:

Estimate if exact GPA is unknown Unknown

## CURRENT HAPPINESS SCALE

## Instructions:

Following is a series of statements regarding your current state of happiness in life. Please answer each statement with a whole number from 1-99 in the space before each statement. You may use the full range of numbers. Answer " 1 " if you believe the statement is not contributing to your current state of happiness. Answer "99" if you believe the statement is contributing substantially to your current state of happiness. Respond "50" if you are uncertain or neutral about whether the statement is contributing to your current state of happiness life.

HOW MUCH IS EACH STATEMENT CONTRIBUTING TO YOUR CURRENT HAPPINESS IN LIFE?

| 1 | 10 | 20 | 30 | 4050 <br> uncertain <br> or neutral |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| is not |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| contributing |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

1. Making my own decisions.
2. Helping others.
3. Thinking about current academic and/or professional goals.
4. Joining clubs or organizations whose interests are similar to mine.
5. Having others consider me an attractive person.
6. Feeling satisfied with my body shape and size.
7. Thinking about living in a new location of my choice.
8. Being satisfied with my physical appearance.
9. Functioning well in my academic environment.
10. Having one or more close friends.
11. Having few worries compared to others.

12, Having pleasant childhood memories.
13. Being a good friend to others.
14. Going out on weeknights or during weekend hours.
15. Enjoying life.
16. Aspiring to get more things out of life.
17. Maintaining my health.

HOW MUCH IS EACH STATEMENT CONTRIBUTING TO YOUR CURRENT HAPPINESS IN LIFE?

| 1 | 10 | 20 | 30 | 40 | 50 <br> uncertain <br> or neutral | 60 | 70 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

18. Finding meaningful employment in the future.
___ 19. Making time for leisure activities.
19. Feelings romantic closeness with someone.
20. Visiting new places.
21. Spending time outdoors.
22. Teaching others new skills.
23. Spending time with one or more close friends.
24. Managing my money.
25. Accepting my limitations.
26. Earning a large income.
27. Expressing opinions in group dicussions.
28. Having adequate transportation.
29. Having a favorable attitude toward others.
30. Participating in frequent physical exercise.
31. Having enough money to meet daily expenses.
32. Thinking about future goals in 1ife.
33. Recognizing a purpose to life.
34. Belleving in God (of my religion).
35. Caring for children.
36. Spending time with children.
37. Solving work or school-related problems.
38. Thinking about having financial independence.
39. Thinking about past hurts.
40. Having my own political beliefs.
41. Visiting my immediate family.
42. Thinking that $I$ am sexually appealing.
43. Having a good relationship with one or more parent.

HOW MUCH IS EACH STATEMENT CONTRIBUTING TO YOUR CURRENT HAPPINESS IN LIFE?

45. Listening to music.
46. Building or creating something.
47. Learning new things.
48. Having a satisfying sex life.
49. Having extra spending money.
50. Learning about myself.
51. Solving problems.
52. Receiving emotional support from my immediate family.
53. Reading for pleasure.
54. Writing, related to academic and/or other areas.
55. Having adequate housing.
56. Being sensitive toward others needs.
57. Being considered generous as a person.
58. Feeling good emotionally.
59. Feeling good physically.
60. Helping others.
61. Being able to eat the food of my choice.
62. Making time to think about the way my life is going overall.
63. Obtaining knowledge by reading.
64. Writing for pleasure.

| 1 | 10 | 20 | 30 | 40 | 50 <br> neutral | 60 | 70 | 80 | 90 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

65. How do you feel about your current life as a whole?

66. How satisfied are you with your current life as a whole?
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## APPENDIX D.

## ITEMS RETAINED IN EACH FACTOR - PILOT STUDY (N = 301)

Factor 1: Social relationships

| Question <br> Number | Factor <br> Loading |
| :--- | ---: |
| 13. Being a good friend to others. | .74 |
| 10. Having one or more close friends. | .71 |
| 60. Helping others. | .70 |
| 56. Being sensitive toward others needs. | .68 |
| 24. Spending time with one or more close friends. | .67 |
| Reliability coefficient (Spearman Brown) $\underline{r}=.83$ |  |

Factor 2: Physical attractiveness

| Question Number | Factor <br> Loading |
| :---: | :---: |
| 8. Being satisfied with my physical appearance. | . 75 |
| 6. Feeling satisfied with my body shape and size. | . 73 |
| 5. Having others consider me an attractive person. | . 63 |
| 43. Thinking that I am sexually appealing. | . 62 |
| Reliability coefficient (Spearman Brown) $\underline{r}=.78$ |  |
| Factor 3: Assisting others |  |
| Question | Factor |
| Number | Loading |
| 36. Caring for children. | . 71 |
| 37. Spending time with children. | . 69 |
| 23. Teaching others new skills. | . 68 |
| Reliability coefficient (Spearman Brown) $\underline{\underline{x}}=.70$ |  |
| Factor 4: Desire for learning |  |
| Question | Factor |
| Number | Loading |
| 47. Learning new things. | . 58 |
| 38. Solving work or school-related problems. | . 53 |
| 54. Writing, related to academic and/or other areas. | . 52 |
| 63. Obtaining knowledge by reading. | . 52 |
| Reliability coefficient (Spearman Brown) $\underline{\underline{x}}=.62$ |  |

APPENDIX E.
THE ACTUAL AND IDEAL HAPPINESS SCALE

Subject Number $\qquad$

THE ACTUAL AND IDEAL hAPPINESS SCALE
These two instruments have been designed to assess certain aspects of happiness in an individual's life. The purpose of The Actual and Ideal Happiness Scale is to have the Individual identity how the elements in the statements contribute and will contribute to happiness in life. The first statement focuses on whether the element is actually contributing to happiness in the present. The second statement focuses on whether the element will contribute more or less to future happiness in life. The purpose of The Psychap Inventory is to give the individual a chance to describe self with regard to personality characteristics that may or may not contribute to experiencing happiness in life.

The subject number at the top of the page will be used for statistical coding. Do not write your name on this form.

## BACKGROUND INFORMATION

A) Begin by answering the following questions, filling in the blank when necessary. Circle the appropriate response:

1. Gender:
(1) Male
(2) Female
2. Age: (1) 18 years
(2) 19 years
(3) 20 years
(4) 21 years
(5) 22 years
(6) 23 or more years
3. Marital status:
(1) Single
(2) Married
(3) Separated
(4) Divorced
(5) Widowed
4. Year in College:
(1) Freshman
(5) Graduate
(2) Sophomore
(6) Undeclared
(3) Junior
(7) Special
(4) Senior Student
5. How large was the community where you spent the majority of your life?
(1) Less than 1,000
(2) $1,000-4,999$
(3) 5,000-9,999
(4) 10,000-49,999
(5) 50,000 - 99,999
(6) 100,000-299,999
(7) $300,000+$
(8) Varied throughout life
6. I am: (1) an American citizen
(2) not an American citizen
7. If not an American citizen, in which country do you hold citizenship?
8. If not an American citizen, how long have you been in the United States?
$\qquad$ Years Months
9. How long have you attended Iowa State University?
$\qquad$ Years $\qquad$ Months
10. In what college is your major area of study?
(1) Agriculture
(2) Business Administration
(3) Design
(4) Education
(5) Engineering
(6) Home Economics
(7) Sciences and Humanities
(8) Veterinary Medicine
11. How many others share your living quarters?
(1) None
(2) One person
(3) Two or more people
12. Highest level of education completed by the main income earner in your family?
(1) Unknown or none
(2) Elementary school

12345678
(3) Secondary school 9101112
(4) Vocational school 1234
(5) College/University 123456 (or more
13. How much time do you spend pursuing hobbies or other leisure activities?
$\qquad$ hours per week
14. Do you live in University housing?
(1) Yes
(2) No
15. Present Grade Point Average (GPA) at Iowa state University? estimate if exact GPA is unknown __ unknown

## ACTUAL AND IDEAL HAPPINESS SCALE

## Instructions:

Following is a series of paired statements regarding your state of happiness in life. Please answer each statement with a whole number from 1-99 in the space before each statement. You may use the full range of numbers. Answer " 1 " if you belleve the statment is not contributing to your happiness. Answer "99" if you believe the statement is contributing substantially to your happiness. Respond " 50 " if you are uncertain or neutral about whether the statement is contributing to your happiness in life.

HOW MUCH IS EACH STATEMENT CONTRIBUTING TO YOUR HAPPINESS IN LIFE?
$\left.\begin{array}{ccccccccc}\hline 1 & 10 & 20 & 30 & 40 & 50 \quad 60 & 70 & 80 & 90 \\ \text { uncertain } \\ \text { is not } \\ \text { or neutral }\end{array}\right]$

## EXAMPLE

```
1. Making my own decisions.
    60 (a) How much is this element contributing to your
        happiness?
    99 (b) How much do you want this element to contribute
    to your happiness?
```

Explanation: This individual responded with the number 60 to indicate how "making her own decisions" was contributing to her present happiness. In the second statement she chooses the number 99 to indicate that she wants this element to be a stronger part of her future happiness.

HOW MUCH IS EACH STATEMENT CONTRIBUTING TO YOUR HAPPINESS IN LIFE?

1. Thinking about goals that $I$ would like to achieve.
(a) How much is this element contributing to your happiness?
(b) How much do you want this element to contribute to your happiness?
2. Feeling satisfied with my body shape and size.
(a) How much is this element contributing to your happiness?
(b) How much do you want this element to contribute to your happiness?
3. Being sexually appealing to others.
(a) How much is this element contributing to your happiness?
(b) How much do you want this element to contribute to your happiness?
(C) Cynthia L. Taylor, 1987.

HOW MUCH IS EACH STATEMENT CONTRIBUTING TO YOUR HAPPINESS IN LIFE?

| 1 | 10 | 20 | 30 | 4050 <br> uncertain <br> or neutral |  | 60 | 70 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| is not <br> contributing |  | 80 | 9099 <br> is contributing <br> substantially |  |  |  |  |

4. Caring for children.
(a) How much is this element contributing to your happiness?
(b) How much do you want this element to contribute to your happiness?
5. Teaching others new skills.
(a) How much is this element contributing to your happiness?
(b) How much do you what this element to contribute to your happiness?
6. Writing for pleasure.
(a) How much is this element contributing to your happiness?
(b) How much do you want this element to contribute to your happiness?
7. Obtaining knowledge by reading.
(a) How much is this element contributing to your happiness?
(b) How much do you want this element to contribute to your happiness?
8. Helping others.
(a) How much is this element contributing to your happiness?

- (b) How much do you want this element to contribute to your happiness?

9. Thinking about the accomplishments I have achieved so far in life?
__ (a) How much is this element contributing to your happiness?

- (b) How much do you want this element to contribute to your happiness?

10. Feeling satisfied with my physical appearance.
_ (a) How much is this element contributing to your happiness?
(b) How much do you want this element to contribute to your happiness?
11. Spending time with children.
(a) How much is this element contributing to your happiness?
(b) How much do you want this element to contribute to your happiness?
12. Solving work or school-related problems.
_ (a) How much is this element contributing to your happiness?

- (b) How much do you want this element to contribute to your happiness?

HOW MUCH IS EACH STATEMENT CONTRIBUTING TO YOUR HAPPINESS IN LIFE?
$\left.\begin{array}{lllllllll}\hline 1 & 10 & 20 & 30 & 40 & 50 & 60 & 70 & 80 \\ \text { uncertain } \\ \text { or neutral }\end{array}\right]$
13. Assisting others to meet their needs.
(a) How much is this element contributing to your happiness?
__ (b) How much do you want this element to contribute to your happiness?
14. Spending time with one or more close friends.
(a) How much is this element contributing to your happiness?
_(b) How much do you want this element to contribute to your happiness?
15. Investing effort into my personal relationships.
(a) How much is this element contributing to your happiness?
(b) How much do you want this element to contribute to your happiness?
16. Spending time thinking about future goals in iife.
(a) How much is this element contributing to your happiness?
(b) How much do you want this element to contribute to your happiness?
17. Solving personal problems.
(a) How much is this element contributing to your happiness?

- (b) How much do you want this element to contribute to your happiness?

18. Developing plans to achieve my goals.
(a) How much is this element contributing to your happiness?
—— (b) How much do you want this element to contribute to your happiness?
19. Feeling accepted by others.
(a) How much is this element contributing to your happiness?
(b) How much do you want this element to contribute to your happiness?
20. Having a good relationship with one or more parent.
(a) How much is this element contributing to your happiness?
(b) How much do you want this element to contribute to your happiness?
21. Volunteering time to help those in need.
(a) How much is this element contributing to your happiness?
(b) How much do you want this element to contribute to your happiness?

HOW MUCH IS EACH STATEMENT CONTRIBUTING TO YOUR HAPPINESS IN LIFE?

22. Being concerned about my physical appearance.
(a) How much is this element contributing to your happiness?
(b) How much do you want this element to contribute to your happiness?
23. Being a good friend to others.
(a) How much is this element contributing to your happiness?
(b) How much do you want this element to contribute to your happiness?
24. Thinking about current academic and/or professional goals.
(a) How much is this element contributing to your happiness?
(b) How much do you want this element to contribute to your happiness?
25. Maintaining my health throughout my life.
(a) How much is this element contributing to your happiness?
(b) How much do you want this element to contribute to your happiness?
26. Making time to think about current academic and/or professional goals.
__ (a) How much is this element contributing to your happiness?
(b) How much do you want this element to contribute to your happiness?
27. Evaluating others' opinions about my attractiveness.
(a) How much is this element contributing to your happiness?
__ (b) How much do you want this element to contribute to your happiness?
28. Learning new things.
(a) How much is this element contributing to your happiness?

- (b) How much do you want this element to contribute to your happiness?

29. Writing, related to academic and/or other areas.
(a) How much is this element contributing to your happiness?

- (b) How much do you want this element to contribute to your happiness?

30. Maintaining a loving relationship with at least one person.
(a) How much is this element contributing to your happiness?
(b) How much do you want this element to contribute to your happiness?

APPENDIX F.
INSTRUMENT COVER LETTER TO SUBJECTS
Dear Participant,
You have been chosen as part of a sample of young adults to complete the attached survey on your own level of happiness and overall satisfaction with your life. I realize that this will take up some of your time, but in doing so you will be helping us learn more about how young adults feel about their lives and living in this environment. I would appreciate your cooperation.
This information will be kept completely confidential; it is for the purpose of doctoral research. A subject number at the top of your survey is for the purpose of statistical coding. Your participation is voluntary and you can choose to stop responding at any time.
If you would like to participate, please answer the questions as honestly as you can. Thank you!
Sincerely,

Cynthia L. Taylor

APPENDIX G.
INTERNATIONAL COUNTRIES REPRESENTED IN MAIN STUDY

## INTERNATIONAL COUNTRIES REPRESENTED IN MAIN STUDY

```
Africa: Egypt
    Gambia
    Kenya
    Nigeria
    Sudan
    Zambia
British Isles: United Kingdom
Central America: Honduras
East Asia: People's Republic of China
        Republic of China (Taiwan)
        Japan
Indo China: Singapore
        Sri Lanka
        Thailand
Mexico
South America: Argentina
    Brazil
    Colombia
Southeast Asia: Indonesia
    Malaysia
Southern Asia: Bangladesh
    India
Southwest Asia: Jordan
    Lebanon
    Palestine
    Turkey
West Indies: Bahamas
```

APPENDIX H.
INTERNATIONAL GROUP FOLLOW-UP LETTER
-

At the last International Council meeting, January 26, 1987, I spoke briefly about my "happiness" dissertation research project and asked for help from your international group. As a counselor and Ph.D. candidate, I am interested in helping individuals discover more sources of happiness within themselves, with others, and with their lives as a whole. My dissertation addresses happiness from a crosscultural perspective because I think that it is vitally important to be culturally sensitive to the needs of students. If your group would be willing to participate by taking a 15 to 30 minute questionnaire, I believe that this information would make a contribution toward understanding happiness from an international perspective. Early next week I will contact you for your assistance. Thank you and I'll look forward to talking to you soon.

Sincerely,

Cynthia L. Taylor
(515) 294-0270


[^0]:    Insert Tables 2 and 3 about here

